**Nilena ERIKSEN1\* , Kleva SHPATI2 , Entela HALOCI3 , Erda QORRI1 , Xhini RIZAJ1** İD İD İD İD İD

- 1 Department of Dentistry, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Albanian University, Rruga e Durresit, AL1001, Tirana, Albania.
- 2 Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Albanian University, Rruga e Durresit, AL1001,Tirana, Albania.
- 3 Department of Pharmacy, University of Medicine, Rruga e Dibres Nr. 371, AL1005, Tirana, Albania
- \* Corresponding Author. n.eriksen@albanianuniversity.edu.al, (N.E.); Tel. +355686889271.

Received: 13 June 2024 / Revised: 23 July 2023/ Accepted: 23 July 2023

**ABSTRACT**: Honey is one of the oldest natural compounds that come from ancient times to nowadays with health benefits. It has been used for the treatment of several diseases, but its role in oral health faces real skepticism due to the high concentration of carbohydrates in its composition. Many studies over the years have confirmed several pharmacological activities such as antioxidant, antibacterial, antihistaminic, etc. It is composed of different chemical compounds like carbohydrates, proteins, amino acids, vitamins, minerals, organic acids, phenolic compounds, and volatile substances. Honey contains many enzymes such as invertase, catalase, glucose oxidase, and acid phosphorylase, as well as traces of vitamins  $B_2$ ,  $B_4$ ,  $B_5$ ,  $B_6$ ,  $B_{11}$ , and vitamin C, minerals such as calcium, iron, zinc, potassium, magnesium. A promising challenge is the use of honey as a targeting product obtained from green synthesis, with high-efficiency thanks to nanotechnology. This study aims to investigate the potential benefits of honey in oral health, leveraging its diverse biological activity like antimicrobial, and antioxidant effects. Additionally, our scope was to provide scientific evidence supporting the use of honey in dentistry, emphasizing its broader health benefits and novel applications in oral health care.

**KEYWORDS**: Honey; biological activity; oral health; dental caries; periodontitis.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Dental tissues are the strongest tissues in the human body and yet vulnerable to the cariogenic process. Dental caries is the most prevalent among oral diseases, which altogether affect about 3.5 billion people around the world, accounting for a global prevalence of 45%, higher than any other non-communicable disease [1-3]. Dental caries is a complex process involving oral microbiota, and other factors such as salivary, dietary, genetic, anatomic, and physiologic ones. This process initially causes demineralization of hard tissues, which under unchanged conditions leads to enamel and dentin destruction resulting in cavities [4-6]. The infectious nature of the carious disease is extensively documented in the literature. Oral microbiota is colonized by more than 700 species of microorganisms, and understanding their role in the initiation and propagation of dental caries is crucial [5, 7, 8]. In vitro and clinical studies have shown that species like *Streptococcus mutans*, *Streptococcus sobrinus,* and *lactobacilli* are consistently associated with dental decay, and levels of *Streptococcus mutans* from salivary samples are usually used as predictors or to monitor caries risk in patients [9]. *Lactobacillus* species were only detected in dentin caries, and a higher abundance of *Prevotella* was found deep in the dentin [10]. According to Richards et al. four species (*Streptococcus mutans*, *Scardovia wiggsiae*, *Parascardovia denticolens*, and *Lactobacillus salivarius*) exclusively exist in dentine caries [11]. It has been determined that *Streptococcus mutans* is the primary cariogenic bacteria responsible for the onset of caries, whereas *Streptococcus sobrinus* may be involved in the progression and development of carious lesions. However, there is increasing evidence that besides traditional caries-associated species (*Streptococcus mutans and lactobacillus* species), *Actinomyces*, *Bifidobacterium*, and other Gram-positive rod species play also important roles in dental caries, as well as fungi such as *Candida Albicans* [7, 12, 13]. Species such as *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacteria* were reported to be strongly related to caries progression, meanwhile, other species of these two genera were demonstrated to be effective probiotics in the context of caries prevention [11], proving that different species of the same genus may play opposite roles in the caries development process [12].

**Review Article** www.jrespharm.com

**How to cite this article:** Eriksen N, Shpati K, Haloci, Qorri E, Rizaj X. Harnessing honey's nutraceutical potential for oral health. J Res Pharm. 2024; 28(5): 1632-1652.

Although specific bacterial species are responsible for dental caries, they alone cannot cause tissue destruction. There has been strong evidence of the role of dietary substrates for microbial acid production as etiological factors in dental decay. Dental caries is considered a dietary-microbial disease, which cannot occur in the absence of pathogenic dental biofilm and frequent exposure to dietary carbohydrates, mostly in the form of free sugars [5, 14, 15]. Fermentable carbohydrates (monosaccharides and disaccharides) provided by the diet are metabolized to acids by plaque bacteria which leads to low pH and growth of acidogenic and aciduric bacteria. These species of resident flora gain a selective ecological advantage over other species which disrupts the homeostatic balance of the plaque biofilm [16, 17]. When pH levels drop under 5.5 within the dental plaque, calcium and phosphate ions are removed from the enamel surface starting the demineralization process and initiation of dental caries. However, this has been demonstrated to be a reversible process until a certain point, where saliva, fluoride therapy, diet control, and probiotic bacteria play an important role in remineralization arresting the evolution of the disease [18, 19]. Considering the multitude of factors involved in dental caries, from microbial to dietary, host, behavioral, and biological ones, efficient preventive therapies should take into consideration all of them. Traditional prevention interventions include mechanical removal of dental plaque aiming for the reduction of the cariogenic flora and the remineralization of dental surfaces through the application of fluoride. Later treatment options aim at rebalancing the dysbiotic caries microbiome with a particular focus on either *Streptococcus mutans* alone or the acidogenic/acid-tolerant bacteria to re-establish a symbiotic healthy microbiome [8, 20-23]. Diet control through limiting sugar intake is considered a priority among the strategies in caries prevention, because initial carious lesions may be arrested by dietary interventions. Apart from sugar restrictions, other dietary interventions to prevent caries include sugar substitutes and the recommendation of functional foods and probiotics [4]. There are an increasing number of studies in the last few years that are evaluating the potential antimicrobial, antifungal, and antiviral properties of natural foods involved in oral diseases, especially dental caries, periodontitis, and induced oral mucositis in patients with head and neck cancers [23-26].

Honey has been used for a long time in various oral procedures and is now supported by scientific evidence for its preventive and curative effects on common oral diseases such as dental caries and periodontitis, as well as their microbial etiologic factors including *Streptococcus mutans* and dental plaque. This study aims to investigate the potential benefits of honey in oral health, leveraging its diverse pharmacological properties such as antimicrobial, and antioxidant effects against oral pathogens, for oral health maintenance and disease prevention. Additionally, our scope was to provide scientific evidence supporting the use of honey in oral health, addressing skepticism related to its carbohydrate content by emphasizing its broader health benefits and novel applications in oral health care.

#### **1.1. Honey as a Nutraceutical**

Food represents products consisting of different nutrients such as carbohydrates, proteins, and fats, necessary to sustain growth and development, energy, and vital processes, providing nutrition. Besides essential nutrients, some products, also known as functional foods, can contain other substances that have positive effects on health "beyond basic nutrition" called nutraceuticals. De Felice considers nutraceuticals 'a food or part of a food, such as a dietary supplement, that has a medical or health benefit, including the prevention and treatment of disease'. They are biologically active molecules coming from food with intermediate characteristics between food and drugs. They can be identified as nutrients, probiotics, prebiotics, phytochemicals, herbals, vitamins, dietary fiber, and enzymes [27-29].

A natural product of great interest is honey, very long and well-known for its nutritional and curative properties. Honey is the only insect-derived natural product with nutritional, cosmetic, therapeutic, and industrial values. Honey's medicinal properties come from flowers, whose nectar is digested by honeybees through their upper digestive tract, to produce this marvelous viscous and sweet substance. Its composition, properties, and pharmacological and pharmacokinetic effects will be reviewed in this study with emphasis on the beneficial effects on oral health and common oral diseases [30-32]. Later in the article, we will discuss honey as a novel drug target for use in dentistry, explore new clinical protocols for drug formulations based on honey, and address the challenges associated with these developments.

Honey is one of the oldest natural compounds since ancient times with available values, not only as a food but also as a medicine. In old medicine Ayurveda, there are many types of honey prescribed, from the region with respective galenic formulations. The quality of honey as a bee product is related to the plants that bees use for production. Many studies over the years have confirmed a lot of pharmacological activities such as antioxidant, antibacterial, antihistaminic, dietary, etc. Honey contains a variety of more than 180

compounds, which include carbohydrates, proteins, amino acids, vitamins, minerals, organic acids, phenolic compounds, and volatile substances. Several enzymes can also be found such as invertase, catalase, glucose oxidase, and acid phosphorylase. Honey also contains eighteen free amino acids, most of which are proline. It contains many traces of vitamins like  $B_2$ ,  $B_4$ ,  $B_5$ ,  $B_6$ ,  $B_{11}$  and vitamin C, minerals such as calcium, iron, zinc, potassium, phosphorus, magnesium, selenium, chromium, and manganese (Table 1) which vary according to geographic region, soil type and local flora [33-37]. Carbohydrates represent 95% of its dry weight: approximately a total of 26 sugars (mono- and disaccharides) have been identified in honey with fructose ( $\sim$ 40%) and glucose (~ 30%) as major sugars [38-41]. Honey contains proteins (roughly 0.5%), mainly enzymes and free amino acids listed in Table 2. Classical amino acids are: beta-alanine, alpha-alanine, gammaaminobutyric acid (GABA), and ornithine (Orn) [42-46].









#### *1.1.1. Honey: Insight into Polyphenolic Compounds*

Honey is well known for its health benefits also in dentistry. The pharmacological effects of honey are related to the chemical compositions like phenolic compounds. These phenolic compounds have contributed by evaluating the absorption and metabolism, as a part of pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and metabolism. There is not a clear process of absorption, metabolism, and excretion valid for all phenolic compounds [57], but there are studies that have been performed both in vitro and in vivo to try to understand the mechanisms behind the bioavailability of these compounds [58]. Schramm et al. showed that the total

amount of polyphenols after honey ingestion in plasma was a minimal quantity compared to that present in honey, demonstrating a very low bioavailability and absorption [59]. The process of metabolism is related also to Phenol metabolism when the first phase of flavonoid metabolism concerns the hydrolysis reaction that can be performed both by bacterial enzymes present in the intestine and by two kinds of enzymes present in the small intestine. The β-hydrolysis of the sugar in the glycosylated flavonoids can be catalyzed by two βendoglycosidases: the lactase phlorizin hydrolase (LPH) and the cytosolic β-glucosidase (CBG) [60]. Gee et al. in their study found that LPH in the brush border of the enterocytes catalyzes the hydrolytic reaction. Aglycon released can enter more easily to the epithelial cells, due to increased lipophilicity. When the hydrolysis reaction is catalyzed by CBG, the polar glucosides are transported inside the epithelial cells through a sodiumdependent glucose transporter 1 (SGLT1), where they are hydrolyzed [60]. The flavonoids enter the second phase of the metabolism, upon being absorbed by the intestinal epithelium and before arriving inside the bloodstream, which leads to the formation of different conjugated products: in particular, sulfotransferases (SULTs) generate sulfates, uridine-5′-diphosphate glucuronosyltransferases (UGTs) allows the formation of glucoronides, the catechol-*O*-methyltransferases (COMTs) produce methylated derivatives. These metabolic biotransformations that affect the absorption, bioavailability, and distribution of flavonoids at the cellular and tissue levels are also mediated by some proteins that are associated with multi-resistance (MRP1, MRP2), which are part of the third phase of the metabolism of flavonoids [61]. MRP2 is located in the apical membrane of the epithelial cells of the small intestine, and it transports flavonoids back into the intestinal lumen. MRP1 is located in the vascular pole of the enterocytes and promotes the transport of flavonoids inside the blood cells [62]. Also, MRP3 and the glucose transporter (GLUT2) aid in the transport of these compounds in the portal venous system; once they enter the latter, the metabolites quickly reach the hepatocytes, where the aglycones are transferred in the peroxisomes and in the Golgi apparatus, where they are subjected to further metabolic processes [63, 64]. The flavonoids of honey are presented in Figure 1.



**Figure 1**. Flavonoids in honey

Phenolic compounds are phytochemicals of honey and are mainly present in honey but their qualities are dependent on honey origin [57-63], and therefore, expected to have different biological activities and huge biological potentialities in humans [64, 65]. The major class of phenolic compounds in honey is represented by flavonoids, a chemical group involving a structure with a molecule with three rings made up of a total of 15 carbon atoms. The carbons of the propane molecule (a three-carbon chain) connect two phenyl rings (a type of aromatic ring structure) to form a closed pyran ring. One of the benzene rings (a six-carbon aromatic ring) is part of this closed pyran ring, resulting in a structure with 15 carbon atoms arranged in three rings. These rings are labeled as A, B, and C (Table 3).



**Table 3.** Flavonoids in honey

These compounds generally have at least three phenolic OH groups and are generally combined with sugars to form glycosides, with glucose as the major sugar, but also galactose, rhamnose, and xylose can be found, as free aglycon.

The enzyme glucosidase in the bee salivary glands is responsible for the hydrolysis of the glycosylated flavonoids and releasing the aglycon form. The flavonoids in honey have been identified mostly as a form of aglycons and not in their glycosylated form. Phenolic aglycons are well absorbed through the gut barrier than their corresponding glycosides by passive diffusion [66] and therefore, flavonoids present in honey may be more readily bioavailable. Two -endoglycosidases capable of flavonoid glycoside hydrolysis have also been characterized in the human small intestine, namely lactase phlorizin hydrolase (LPH), acting in the brush border of the small intestine epithelial cells [48, 49] and a cytosolic -glucosidase (CBG) as an alternative hydrolytic step within the epithelial cells [66-69]. Some studies show that flavonoids can inhibit the non-Na+ dependent facilitated diffusion of monosaccharides in intestinal epithelial cells.

*1.1.2. Honey: Acidity and pH value* 

The unique chemistry of honey originates from its buffering capacity, which allows it to maintain a stable pH through the addition of small amounts of acids and bases. This is due to the chemistry of honey related to the presence of carbonates, phosphates, and minerals. The buffer systems offer benefits, especially in dentistry when the oral pH can influence pharmacological effects [70-74].

## *1.1.3. Honey: Biological activity as an antioxidant*

The antioxidant properties of honey result from the ability to reduce oxidative reactions. The antioxidant capacity (AOC) depends on the honey floral source, plant, and its secondary metabolites as polyphenolics and enzyme activities. Enzymes such as glucose oxidase and catalase, vitamins like ascorbic acid, organic acids, amino acids, phenolic acids, and especially flavonoids play a very important role in the antioxidant activity of honey [75-78].

Honey has a complex composition that seems difficult for standardization of AOC, but the lipid peroxidation model has been studied more by mimicking several mechanisms that may occur in vivo. It offers the possibility of identifying antioxidant compounds able to mitigate lipid oxidative damage. It is important to emphasize that the AOC of honey depends on polyphenol contents which are considered the main antioxidant components of honey. Polyphenols play an important role as metal chelators, free-radical scavengers that regulate cellular redox balance. The OH groups in the aromatic ring increase the capacity of dihydric and trihydric derivatives like gallic acid (3,4,5-trihydroxybenzoic acid), the most potent antioxidant within all the hydroxybenzoic acids. Benzoic acid also plays a role as a weak antioxidant. The antioxidant effect increases in cases of dihydric or trihydric derivatives due to the positions of OH groups in the aromatic ring. Several electron donor groups in the benzene ring structure (as hydroxyl or methoxy groups in structures) provide a greater number of resonant structures and increase the stability of the aryl radical in cinnamic acids, thereby favoring their antioxidant behavior [79-83]. Flavonoids are very effective as scavengers of reactive oxygen species (ROS) peroxyl, alkyl peroxide, hydroxyl, and superoxide radicals, as well as against reactive nitrogen species (RNS) like nitric oxide and peroxynitrite, protecting against the oxidative damage induced by these molecules [84, 85]. This activity is attributed to three chemical features in flavonoid structure, namely an ortho-dihydroxy structure in the B-ring [86-89], and the presence, in the C-ring, of a 2, 3 double bond and/or of a 4-oxo function [90, 91]. Hydroxyl groups on the B-ring donate hydrogen and an electron to hydroxyl, peroxyl, and peroxynitrite radicals, stabilizing them and giving rise to relatively stable flavonoid radicals. Oxidation of a flavonoid occurs on the B-ring when catechol is present [92-95], yielding a fairly stable orthosemiquinone radical [96] through facilitating electron delocalization [97]. Examples are flavonols: the superiority of quercetin in inhibiting both metal and nonmetal-induced oxidative damage is partially ascribed to its free 3-OH substituent [98-101], which is thought to increase the stability of the flavonoid radical, while the substitution of 3-OH by a methyl or glycosyl group decreases the AOC of this flavonol [102]. In flavonoid classes the presence or absence of an unsaturated 2–3 bond in conjugation with a 4-oxo function. The conjugation phenomena between the A - and B rings permit a resonance effect of the aromatic nucleus leading stability of the flavonoid radical. This conjugation is critical in optimizing the phenoxyl radical-stabilizing effect of the 3`,4`-catechol [103]. Flavonols have a higher free radical scavenger capacity than flavones [104, 105] which leads to a greater number of hydroxyl groups and substituents 3-OH present in their structure.

# *1.1.4. Honey: Biological activity as antibacterial*

Honey is well known for its antimicrobial effects which are dedicated to two mechanisms: (a) high osmolarity and acidity with non-peroxide antibacterial activity thanks to methylglyoxal, bee defensin-1, and flavonoid contents; (b) a peroxide antibacterial activity due to the specific hydrogen peroxide content [106- 109]. Honey has demonstrated an inhibition of microorganisms with clinical significance reported in many studies. The antimicrobial activity of manuka and an artificial honey was tested in one solution using eighteen strains of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, seven strains of vancomycin-sensitive enterococci, isolated from infected wounds, and 20 strains of vancomycin-resistant enterococci, isolated from hospital environmental surfaces the minimum inhibitory concentration of both kinds of honey was below 10%, while the concentrations of artificial honey necessary to achieve equivalent inhibition in vitro were at least three times higher, thus confirming that the inhibition of bacteria by honey is not exclusively due to its osmolarity but to its flavonoids compounds also [110].

## **2. Medicinal value of honey in dentistry**

The role of honey in systemic health has been largely studied over the years confirming its antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, and wound healing properties as well as its contribution to physical and mental wellbeing. An increasing number of studies demonstrate its beneficial effects on several oral conditions like inhibition of *Streptococcus mutans* and dental caries consequently, periodontitis, oral mucositis induced by radiotherapy in cancer, xerostomia or promotion of wound healing [111- 114]. Honey's effects on oral health are presented in Figure 2.



**Figure 2.** Effects of honey in oral health

## **2.1. Honey and dental caries prevention**

As explained at the beginning of this review, dental caries happens when cariogenic bacteria within the biofilm metabolize sugars from the diet and produce acids. These acids cause pH to drop under the critical point of 5.5 encouraging the demineralization of dental enamel, which loses its minerals like Ca, Mg, and P, resulting in cavities.

The introduction of sugars in the everyday diet has become a major community oral health problem due to their important role in the cariogenic process. Among all sugars, sucrose is considered the most cariogenic one, which along with its constituents' glucose and fructose, can be easily metabolized by cariogenic bacteria. So dental plaque is created, an environment rich in bacteria embedded in self-produced extracellular polysaccharides. This environment becomes home to acidogenic and aciduric microbial species responsible for the initiation and propagation of dental caries. It has been scientifically demonstrated that all foods and drinks rich in sugars or with an acidic pH are prone to induce hard tissue demineralization and caries. Honey is a very sweet substance with a pH varying between 3.2 and 4.5 (depending on the type of honey) theoretically having a high cariogenic potential [115]. So, Bowen and Lawrence [116] when comparing the cariogenicity of cola, honey, cow milk, human milk, and sucrose, found that cola, sucrose, and honey were very cariogenic. Moreover, cola and honey had erosive effects on dental enamel, discouraging the use of honey, among others, from nursing bottles.

In the same line are also the findings of Safii et al. [117] who found a relative resistance of cariogenic *Streptococcus mutans* to two types of honey (Manuka and clover honey). They observed that both types of honey promoted significant demineralization at lower concentrations, supporting the idea that the high concentrations of fermentable carbohydrates in honey and the direct demineralization of oral hard tissues caused by the low pH of honey could be damaging to calcified tissues.

However, Grobler and Basson [118], using scanning electron microscopy showed neither enamel erosion by natural honey for a period of over 30 minutes, nor any other deterioration of enamel structure. The authors attributed this effect to the calcium, phosphorus, and fluoride levels in honey. The findings of Grobler and Basson were supported by later work from Ahmadi-Motamayel et al. [119], who demonstrated (in vitro)

that honey possessed lower caries activity than fructose and glucose. Additionally, Habluetzel et al. [120] found that despite its low pH, honey did not cause erosion, and they concluded that treating the pellicle with honey, its components, or propolis did not provide any protection against erosion. Yet, Celik et al. [121] analyzing the remineralizing potential of honey (separately and mixed with other natural products like ginger and bitter chocolate), demonstrated a high remineralization potential of initial enamel caries lesions. A remineralization potential of honey has been also observed by Senthilkumar et al. especially when mixed with ginger powder [122].

Prevention of dental caries is founded on the eradication of at least one of the producing factors and eradication or at least inhibition of oral cariogenic microflora is of major importance in this sense [123]. The most studied species involved in the cariogenic process are *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacillus salivarius* [11], but as has been demonstrated they are not the only responsible ones. Recent research using sequencingbased technology and bioinformatics analysis is helping in better understanding the complexity of oral microbiome and the interaction with dental caries showing important microbiome shifts in caries of different stages, with different activities, and in different locations [7]. However, it is widely accepted that *Streptococcus mutans* is the main virulent species in the pathogenesis of dental caries. It possesses three important properties that give it this status: the ability to use dietary sucrose to rapidly synthesize extracellular polysaccharides through the activity of glycosyltransferases and a fructosyltransferase, strong adherence to glucan-coated surfaces and acidogenecity and acidurance. Under this perspective, the potential prevention properties of natural foods have been analyzed in the following directions: the antimicrobial activity, especially the inhibition of growth and metabolism of acidogenic and aciduric organisms, inhibition of exopolysaccharide synthesis, and inhibition of bacterial adherence [124].

Several studies in the literature demonstrate the important inhibitory activity of honey against *Streptococcus mutans* both *in vitro* [125-129] and *in vivo* [130-132]. Manuka honey, produced by *Apis mellifera* from *Leptospermum scoparium,* originated from New Zealand, has been the most studied one, because of its demonstrated strong antimicrobial activity against a wide range of bacterial species [133, 134] and is considered probably as the "gold standard" of medical honey. Different types of honey have also been evaluated. So, Tualang Honey (Malaysian) [135-137], honey from New Zealand, Cuba, and Kenya [138], Greek honey [139], Polish honey [125], South African honey [140] have all shown different levels of antimicrobial activities. In these studies, honey originating from different plants and places has been evaluated in different concentrations, and on different species of microorganisms, which altogether are considered important factors that seem to play a role in its antibacterial power. It has been reported that honey shows an antimicrobial effect on concentrations starting from 2.5% [141] to 74% [142]. Ahmadi et al. showed significant antibacterial activity for honey on *Streptococcus mutans* in concentrations more than 20% and on Lactobacillus in 100% concentrations, recommending it for the prevention and reduction of dental caries [143]. Badawy et al. observed that higher honey concentrations perform better inhibitory activity, concluding that the higher the concentration the more effective it is as an antibacterial agent [144].

Preliminary data from Grabec-Lejko and Hyrchel in a recent study [125], show strong inhibitory activity on *Streptococcus mutans* and biofilm formation from the strongest honey samples, which also showed the highest antioxidant activity and polyphenols content. Their results suggest that honey can be a good natural product against *Streptococcus mutans*, identifying hydrogen peroxide as a crucial contributor to its antimicrobial action. Another study by Yadav et al. [131], concluded that honey has an antimicrobial effect on *Streptococcus mutans* after a definite time interval contributing this effect to the production of hydrogen peroxide, inhibition of glycosyltransferase activity, or presence of polyphenols in honey. When compared to artificial honey (AH), a mixture of ingredients, including 40.5% fructose, 33.5% glucose, 7.5% maltose, and 1.5% sucrose, added incrementally and stirred until dissolved in deionized water, Nasar et al. [126], concluded that natural honey (NH) demonstrated more inhibition of bacterial growth, viability, and biofilm formation than artificial honey (AH), highlighting the potential antibacterial properties of natural honey, attributed not solely to its high sugar content**.** Honey inhibitory potential on *Streptococcus mutans* was ascertained also by Abdelmegid et al. [130] who observed that a single time mouth rinsing with honey and green tea solutions for two minutes effectively reduced the number of *Streptococcus mutans* in the saliva of 7-10 years old Saudi boys, recommending this procedure as a potential measure for prevention of caries in children.

Overall, according to the literature, honey's antimicrobial efficacy originates from several factors: the production of hydrogen peroxide with antiseptic properties, the high sugar content causing an osmotic effect, the low pH level, and the presence of organic acids, especially gluconic acid. Although some types of honey have no hydrogen peroxide activity, called non-peroxide honey (manuka honey) they have important antimicrobial activity which may be due to the low pH, high sugar content, and presence of methylglyoxal (MGO) compound which are enough to prevent microbial growth. There are also several enzymatic constituents regularly found in honey that may inhibit the cariogenic process such as bee-derived enzyme Glucose Oxidase (GOX), a regular but quantitatively variable glycoprotein of honey, lactoperoxidase, and iodide [34, 115, 145, 146, 147]. Kwakman et al. fully characterized the antimicrobial activity of honey and they found that honey contains an antimicrobial peptide, bee defensin-1 with a substantial contribution to its bactericidal activity [148]. Polyphenols, which are found at high levels in honey, are a diverse group of chemicals that include flavonoids and phenolic acids and may contribute to its antibacterial activity. They are biological compounds transmitted from plants' nectar to honey and represent important components of honey's health-promoting properties [149, 150].

An important observation regarding natural honey is that it has a wide antibacterial activity which extends to more than 80 species, and none is observed to develop resistance against honey, as it happens with many antibiotics available [151]. This fact is attributed to the variations in honey composition which depends on nectar source, climate, time of preservation, and also preservation conditions [150] and is very encouraging for deeper investigation.

### **2.2. Honey against dental plaque formation and in periodontitis**

Periodontal disease is one of the most common four oral conditions that affect people worldwide, along with dental caries, tooth loss, and oral cancer. Severe gum disease - a major cause of tooth loss - is estimated to affect 1 billion people worldwide, according to WHO [152, 153].

Periodontal disease is defined as the inflammatory response of the gingiva and the surrounding connective tissue to the bacterial or plaque accumulations on the teeth surface, which produce real specific infections. These bacteria through their various compounds such as H2S, NH3, endotoxins, enzymes, and antigens initiate a plaque-induced inflammatory response. Initially, this inflammation causes swallowing and bleeding of the soft tooth surrounding tissues, called gingivitis, which if not treated advances to collagen destruction, detachment of tooth from bone structure, bone loss (periodontitis), and ultimately tooth loss [4]. However, periodontitis is a multifactorial disease in which environmental and genetic factors play an important role in its onset, where besides oral bacterial flora, smoking and diabetes are other important risk factors, strongly related to the disease [154].

Plaque control can be achieved through good oral hygiene, which has been demonstrated to change subgingival flora to a more compatible with periodontal health. Usually, rigorous plaque control is achieved by regular and adequate toothbrushing, but in many cases, this is difficult to realize leading to the need for other chemical products such as mouthwash to complete dental plaque removal. Moreover, advances in plaque theory highlight the importance of using chemical antimicrobials with the necessary properties to suppress and/or eradicate the pathogenic players, due to the observations that pathogens like *A. actinomycetemcomitans* and *P. gingivalis* invade the epithelium and cannot be as responsive to mechanical debridement and need additional antibiotic therapy or even surgery [155].

Periodontitis standard treatment is based on non-surgical periodontal therapy that consists of mechanical root debridement, to eliminate or reduce dental plaque, by removing as much as possible of the subgingival plaque colonized by a great number of pathogens. Although this therapy is very effective, bacteria can recolonize the space, and residual pockets can still remain [156].

Several agents with antiplaque and antigingivitic properties, such as triclosan (dentifrice), stannous fluoride (dentifrice), a combination of essential oils (mouth rinse), chlorhexidine (mouth rinse), etc., have been used throughout the years, to decrease gingival inflammation so that destructive periodontal disease will not develop [157]. There is strong evidence of the antiplaque and antigingivitis effects of these agents [158-160] but also concerns regarding their safety [161], or adverse effects like discoloration [162], so attention to natural compounds have been drawn.

Among other uses, honey has also been evaluated as an antiplaque agent and for use in periodontal disease. Different types of studies, from in vitro to clinical evaluations, have been conducted to test the antibacterial properties of honey against dental plaque, in gingivitis or periodontitis.

Schmidlin et al, [129] tested in vitro, the antibacterial efficacy of Manuka honey against *S. mutans*, *P. gingivalis*, and *A. actinomycetemcomitans*. They found that Manuka honey has a significantly higher antibacterial effect at an NPA (Non-Peroxide Activity) value above 15, being more effective against *P. gingivalis* and *A. actinomycetemcomitans*, rather than *S. mutans*. Their study showed an NPA dose-dependent antibacterial efficacy of Manuka honey.

Similar results were obtained by Safii et al. [117] when testing in vitro, antimicrobial, and demineralizing effects in the periodontal application of Manuka honey and clover honey. They found both honeys active against plaque-associated bacteria, and that Medical-grade manuka honey (of NPA > 20) is especially antimicrobial towards the gram-negative anaerobes associated with gingivitis and periodontal diseases. Gram-negative anaerobes were more sensitive than the gram-positive species like streptococci.

Voidarou et al. [139] analyzing in vitro different types of Greek honey as potential natural antimicrobials against oral pathogens, found that in most cases the Greek honey, particularly the citrus honey and the oregano and sage honey, outperformed the antibacterial activity of manuka honey showing high antibacterial activity against all tested oral bacteria. Their study indicated that in a clinical environment, Greek honey can be used as an anti-cariogenic, anti-erosive, and/or oral wound healing factor in patients with hyposalivation, suggesting the necessity of investigating the botanological profiles of different types of honey for their classification and derivation of more specific suggestions.

Clinical evaluation of honey antimicrobial efficacy in different form products can be found in the literature. Honey mouthwash rinsing is the most tested due to easy performance and clear results. Recent studies have shown antimicrobial honey properties when used as a rinsing agent. So, in a study by Yasmin et al, [163], 52 children 9–12 years old, with the same mean plaque scores, were divided into two groups. The control group rinsed with distilled water and the test group rinsed with a forest honey (10%) solution. The children rinsed three times daily for 30 s with 10 ml of mouthwash for 4 days, in all 10 times. After the 4-day period, the plaque scores were reassessed and it was observed that rinsing with the forest honey solution (10%) had reduced the plaque score. The mean plaque score after rinsing with forest honey solution (10%) was significantly lower than the mean plaque score after rinsing with distilled water showing that rinsing with a forest honey solution of 10% has a positive effect on the reduction of dental plaque in children 9 - 12 years.

A randomized controlled trial study was conducted by Sruthi et al. [14] among 12–15 years old children with DMFt index (decayed, missing, filled teeth) scores of 1-4 and plaque and gingival scores of 1-2, to analyze the efficacy of Manuka honey and chlorhexidine on gingivitis and *Streptococcus mutans*. Students were instructed to rinse with 5 ml of Manuka honey and 10 ml of Chlorhexidine twice daily for 14 days. Plaque and gingival indices were assessed at baseline, 7th day, and 14th day as well as *Streptococcus mutans* count obtained from unstimulated saliva, showing comparable effectiveness of Manuka honey with Chlorhexidine mouthwash in reducing gingivitis and *Streptococcus mutans* count. Authors recommend Manuka honey as a promising antimicrobial agent effective in improving gingival health and reducing caries risk.

In another recent study, Al-Kubaisi & Al-Ghurabi [164] randomly selected 45 individuals between 20- 40 years old to clinically evaluate the effect of Manuka honey on dental plaque and microbial load. They were separated into three groups, each receiving Manuka honey mouthwash, chlorhexidine, and a placebo mouthwash. Dental plaque index (PLI) and bleeding on probing (POB) scores as well as *Streptococcus mutans* were estimated before and after 21 days of using the mouthwash for each participant. The findings of this study have proven the antibacterial and anti-biofilm properties of Manuka honey by a significant reduction in PLI and POB scores and *Streptococcus mutans* in both the Manuka honey and chlorhexidine groups. Authors suggest manuka honey as appropriate for antibacterial defense against *Streptococcus mutans* and to enhance oral health.

Several other studies have demonstrated honey antibacterial efficacy in plaque control or gingivitis by direct oral application of different concentrations of honey, massaging gingiva with honey, chewing honey products, or honey gum. So, the effect of Manuka honey was compared to chlorhexidine and xylitol chewing gum in a clinical study by Nayak et al. conducted on 60 individuals aged 21 - 25 years old, on dental plaque levels. Undiluted honey was applied directly into the gingival sulcus of all teeth twice within an interval of 5 minutes, twice a day after meals, in a 72-hour experiment. Chlorhexidine was used by rinsing twice a day for 60 s with 10 ml solution, and sugarless xylitol gum was chewed for 5 min three times a day after meals. The results showed comparable effects of manuka honey and chlorhexidine, performing significantly better than xylitol in reducing plaque formation [165].

In another randomized controlled study by Atwa et al. [166], the effect of honey was tested in preventing gingivitis and dental caries, compared to treatment with 10% sucrose and 10% sorbitol solutions. The levels of *Streptococcus mutans*, *Lactobacilli*, and *Porphyromonas gingivalis* in dental plaques were measured in 20 orthodontic patients, of 12-18 years old, after chewing undiluted honey or rinsing with sucrose and sorbitol solutions. The results showed a statistically significant reduction in bacterial counts in the honey group, with a significant inhibition of all studied bacterial strains, which was comparable to the inhibition

observed with antibiotics. Authors agreed that honey can be used as an alternative to conventional treatments in preventing dental caries and gingivitis in orthodontic patients.

Gum massage therapy with honey and olive oil has been found to have a significantly effective reduction potential of gingival scores and colony counts of oral microorganisms' formation [167]. Olive oil and honey can reduce gingivitis and can be used as preventive and therapeutic agents.

A very recent study by Ahmadi-Motamayel et al. [168] tested the effect of chewing gum containing G glabra, honey, and vitamin E, on gingival index, plaque index, and bleeding on probing, and salivary bacterial counts, on 155 dental students. They observed a decrease in all periodontal parameters and bacterial counts, with the highest reduction in gingival inflammation, concluding that chewing gum with G glabra, honey, and vitamin E, improved oral health.

In their study, Opšivač et al. evaluated the effects of a Manuka honey-containing product on periodontal indicators as an adjunct to nonsurgical periodontal treatment in patients with stage 3 periodontitis [156]. They found statistically significant improvements in periodontal probing depth (PPD) reduction and clinical attachment level (CAL) gain after 3, 6, and 12 months of follow-up, compared to the outcomes of the non-surgical periodontal treatment only. Their results were comparable to studies of other adjunctive products such as antibiotics or else, indicating the potential use of Manuka honey as a simple and affordable adjunct to non-surgical periodontal therapy.

### **3. Honey as a novel targeting product from green synthesis**

In recent years, nanotechnology has shown significant potential in biomedical use, especially in antibacterial applications [169, 170]. Partially because of their unique nanoscale physicochemical properties, nanomaterials can penetrate biofilm barriers and various antibiotic-resistant bacteria [171], thus strengthening traditional antibiotic effects and reducing their harm to human health [172]. Moreover, such drug delivery systems can be multifunctional, including functions such as remineralization promotion [173] and drug release control [174]. These characteristics support their significant potential for use in dental caries therapy and dental plaque control. Honey can be utilized as a natural source of bioactive compounds that can be incorporated into targeted drug delivery systems or as a component in environmentally friendly synthesis methods. Its unique composition and therapeutic properties make honey a valuable resource for developing sustainable and effective targeting products through green synthesis approaches.

Gold nanoparticles synthesized using honey as a reducing and stabilizing agent highlight a significant advancement in nanotechnology, particularly in biomedical applications. Philip et al. [172] have successfully demonstrated that  $HAuCl<sub>4</sub>$  can be reduced with diluted honey, with the rate of reduction increasing proportionally to the volume of honey used. At room temperature, honey functions not only reduce HAuCl4 but also stabilize the resulting gold nanoparticles. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) studies have elucidated that the presence of  $H_2O_2$  and gluconic acid, formed when honey is diluted with distilled water, plays a pivotal role in this reduction process. Fructose, an intrinsic component of honey, acts as a potential reducing agent, while the proteins within honey contribute to the stabilization of these nanoparticles.

Moreover, the antibacterial properties of nano-honey have been investigated, revealing moderate activity against both gram-positive and gram-negative bacterial strains. These findings underscore the potential of nano-honey in dental applications, particularly in combating oral pathogens effectively. The integration of honey into nanotechnology not only enhances the functional properties of nanoparticles but also opens new paths for innovative approaches in dental materials and treatments, as explored by Philip et al. [172]. Silver nanoparticles synthesized using honey as both a reducing and stabilizing agent represent a significant advancement in nanotechnology, particularly in biomedical applications, as studied by Ghramh et al. [173]. The process involves conducting synthesis at room temperature, where honey demonstrates dual functionality. Ghramh et al. [173] observed a notable correlation: as the concentration of honey increases, the size of the silver nanoparticles decreases, a phenomenon confirmed by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). This size modulation is crucial for optimizing the properties of silver nanoparticles for dental applications. Proteins present in honey play a pivotal role as capping agents, effectively stabilizing the nanoparticles during synthesis. Meanwhile, fructose, a component of honey, acts as the primary reducing agent in this green synthesis approach. These mechanisms highlight nano-honey's capability to produce silver nanoparticles with tailored properties that enhance their antibacterial efficacy, particularly beneficial for combating oral pathogens relevant to oral health.

The integration of honey into nanotechnology not only enhances the functional properties of nanoparticles but also underscores its potential in developing advanced dental materials and treatments. Ghramh et al.'s [173] research contributes significantly to expanding the understanding of nano-honey's applications, offering promising avenues for future innovations in dental care.

Palladium nanoparticles synthesized with the assistance of honey, acting as both a reducing agent and stabilizer, represent a significant advancement in nanotechnology, as explored by Mitt et al. [174]. This synthesis method highlights the versatility of nano-honey in facilitating advanced catalytic processes, particularly in biomedical and dental applications. Mitt et al. [174] have demonstrated that honey effectively reduces palladium ions, facilitating the synthesis of nanoparticles suitable for catalyzing Suzuki crosscoupling reactions and hydrogenation of conjugated olefins. Their research underscores the potential of nanohoney to revolutionize dental care by providing efficient and environmentally friendly solutions, thereby expanding the possibilities for innovative applications in oral health.

Yang et al. [175] have explored the utilization of nano-honey in synthesizing carbon nanoparticles, emphasizing its dual role as a reducing and stabilizing agent. This innovative green synthesis method enables the real-time production of carbon nanoparticles on surfaces coated with polysorbate and polyethylene glycol. Such nanoparticles possess considerable potential for diverse dental applications, owing to their unique properties facilitated by nano-honey. By leveraging nano-honey's capabilities, this approach not only enhances the efficiency of nanoparticle synthesis but also contributes to the development of functional materials that could significantly advance dental treatments and dental materials science. Yang et al.'s [175] findings underscore the promising role of nano-honey in expanding the horizon of dental research and innovation, offering sustainable solutions for improving oral health outcomes.

Green synthesis of nanoparticles is eco-friendly which embodies the efficacy and safety of nanomaterial using biological resources like honey. This green approach has opened a new era of safe nanotechnology. Special chemical properties of honey are used in the green synthesis of nanoparticles. These characteristics are crucial in the development of sustainable dental materials and treatments. Consequently, honey-mediated synthesis offers several advantages over microorganism-mediated methods [176, 177].

Nanomaterials combined with honey can potentially influence the speed and efficacy of wound repair and infection prevention in several ways: a) Enhanced Antibacterial Properties: Honey itself has natural antibacterial properties due to its low pH, high sugar content, and production of hydrogen peroxide. When combined with nanomaterials like nanoparticles of metals (e.g., silver, zinc oxide) or metal oxides (e.g., titanium dioxide), the antibacterial effectiveness can be enhanced [178]. Nanomaterials provide a large surface area and unique chemical properties that can disrupt bacterial cell membranes or inhibit bacterial growth more effectively than honey alone; b) Improved Healing: Nanomaterials can help create a scaffold or matrix that supports tissue regeneration and wound healing [179]. They can promote cell adhesion, migration, and proliferation, speeding up the formation of new tissue and thereby accelerating the overall healing process [180, 181]; c) Controlled Release of Bioactive Compounds: Nanomaterials can be engineered to encapsulate bioactive compounds present in honey, such as phenolic acids, flavonoids, and enzymes. These compounds have antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties, which can further aid in wound healing by reducing inflammation and oxidative stress [182].

## **4. CONCLUSION**

Honey shows promise in oral health applications due to its antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, and woundhealing properties. It is now seen as a reliable alternative thanks to technological interventions through nanotechnology, where combined with metal nanoparticles improves the efficiency and safety of several dental treatments and oral health care. Enhanced antibacterial properties when combined with nanomaterials of metals, provide a large surface area and unique chemical properties that can disrupt bacterial cell membranes or inhibit bacterial growth more effectively than honey alone. Honey nanomaterials can help create a scaffold or matrix that supports tissue regeneration and wound healing. They can promote cell adhesion, migration, and proliferation, speeding up the formation of new tissue and thereby accelerating the overall healing process. However, further clinical studies are essential to validate its efficacy, safety, and specific uses in dental practice. Integrating honey-based products or treatments into oral care routines could offer novel approaches to maintaining oral health and managing oral diseases.

**Acknowledgements:** This work received financial support from the Albanian University Funds for Manuscripts Publication (Funding dedicated for the publications).

**Author contributions:** Concept – N.E., K.SH.; Design – N.E., K.SH., E.H.; Supervision – E.H.; Resources – N.E., K.SH., E.H.; Materials – N.E., K.SH., E.H., Data Collection and/or Processig – N.E., E.H., K.SH., E.Q., XH.R.; Analysis and/or Interpretation – N.E., K.SH., E. H.; Literature Search – N.E., K.SH. E.H.; Writing – N.E. Critical Reviews – E. H., K.SH., E.Q.

**Conflict of interest statement:** "The authors declared no conflict of interest" in the manuscript.

#### **REFERENCES**

- **[1]** GBD 2017 Oral Disorders Collaborators; Bernabe E, Marcenes W, Hernandez CR, Bailey J, Abreu LG, Alipour V, Amini S, Arabloo J, Arefi Z, Arora A, Ayanore MA, Bärnighausen TW, Bijani A, Cho DY, Chu DT, Crowe CS, Demoz GT, Demsie DG, Dibaji Forooshani ZS, Du M, El Tantawi M, Fischer F, Folayan MO, Futran ND, Geramo YCD, Haj-Mirzaian A, Hariyani N, Hasanzadeh A, Hassanipour S, Hay SI, Hole MK, Hostiuc S, Ilic MD, James SL, Kalhor R, Kemmer L, Keramati M, Khader YS, Kisa S, Kisa A, Koyanagi A, Lalloo R, Le Nguyen Q, London SD, Manohar ND, Massenburg BB, Mathur MR, Meles HG, Mestrovic T, Mohammadian-Hafshejani A, Mohammadpourhodki R, Mokdad AH, Morrison SD, Nazari J, Nguyen TH, Nguyen CT, Nixon MR, Olagunju TO, Pakshir K, Pathak M, Rabiee N, Rafiei A, Ramezanzadeh K, Rios-Blancas MJ, Roro EM, Sabour S, Samy AM, Sawhney M, Schwendicke F, Shaahmadi F, Shaikh MA, Stein C, Tovani-Palone MR, Tran BX, Unnikrishnan B, Vu GT, Vukovic A, Warouw TSS, Zaidi Z, Zhang ZJ, Kassebaum NJ. Global, Regional, and National Levels and Trends in Burden of Oral Conditions from 1990 to 2017: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease 2017 Study. J Dent Res. 2020;99(4):362-373. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034520908533.
- **[2]** World Health Organization. Prevention and Treatment of Dental Caries with Mercury-Free Products and Minimal Intervention: WHO Oral Health Briefing Note Series; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2022. https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789240046184.
- **[3]** Kassebaum NJ, Smith AGC, Bernabé E, Fleming TD, Reynolds AE, Vos T, Murray CJL, Marcenes W; GBD 2015 Oral Health Collaborators. Global, regional, and national prevalence, ıncidence, and disability-adjusted life years for oral conditions for 195 countries, 1990-2015: A Systematic analysis for the global burden of diseases, ınjuries, and risk factors. J Dent Res. 2017; 96(4): 380-387. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034517693566.
- **[4]** Chapple IL, Bouchard P, Cagetti MG, Campus G, Carra MC, Cocco F, Nibali L, Hujoel P, Laine ML, Lingstrom P, Manton DJ, Montero E, Pitts N, Rangé H, Schlueter N, Teughels W, Twetman S, Van Loveren C, Van der Weijden F, Vieira AR, Schulte AG. Interaction of lifestyle, behaviour or systemic diseases with dental caries and periodontal diseases: consensus report of group 2 of the joint EFP/ORCA workshop on the boundaries between caries and periodontal diseases. J Clin Periodontol. 2017; 44 Suppl 18:S39-S51. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpe.12685.
- **[5]** Pitts NB, Zero DT, Marsh PD, Ekstrand K, Weintraub JA, Ramos-Gomez F, Tagami J, Twetman S, Tsakos G, Ismail A. Dental caries. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2017; 3: 17030. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2017.30.
- **[6]** Wen PYF, Chen MX, Zhong YJ, Dong QQ, Wong HM. Global burden and ınequality of dental caries, 1990 to 2019. J Dent Res. 2022; 101(4): 392-399. https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345211056247.
- **[7]** Zhang JS, Chu CH, Yu OY. Oral microbiome and dental caries development. Dent J (Basel). 2022; 10(10): 184. https://doi.org/10.3390/dj10100184.
- **[8]** Escapa IF, Chen T, Huang Y, Gajare P, Dewhirst FE, Lemon KP. New ınsights into human nostril microbiome from the expanded human oral microbiome database (eHOMD): A Resource for the microbiome of the human aerodigestive tract. mSystems. 2018; 3(6): e00187-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00187-18.
- **[9]** Sánchez-Acedo M, Montiel-Company JM, Dasí-Fernández F, Almerich-Silla JM. *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus sobrinus* detection by Polymerase Chain Reaction and their relation to dental caries in 12 and 15-year-old schoolchildren in Valencia (Spain). Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2013; 18(6): e839-e845. https://doi.org/10.4317%2Fmedoral.18941.
- **[10]** Simón-Soro A, Belda-Ferre P, Cabrera-Rubio R, Alcaraz LD, Mira A. A tissue-dependent hypothesis of dental caries. Caries Res. 2013. 47(6): 591-600. https://doi.org/10.1159/000351663.
- **[11]** Bustamante M, Oomah BD. probiotics as an adjunct therapy for the treatment of halitosis, dental caries and periodontitis. Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins. 2020; 12(2): 325-334. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-019-9521-4
- **[12]** Havsed K, Stensson M. Bacterial composition and metabolomics of dental plaque from adolescents. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2021; 11: 716493. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.716493.
- **[13]** Prince A, Roy S, McDonald D. Exploration of the antimicrobial synergy between selected natural substances on *Streptococcus mutans* to ıdentify candidates for the control of dental caries. Microbiol Spectr. 2022; 10(3): e0235721. https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.02357-21.
- **[14]** Sruthi KS, Yashoda R, Puranik PM. Effectiveness of manuka honey and chlorhexidine mouthwash on gingivitis and *Streptococcus mutans* count among children: A randomized controlled trial. J Ind Assoc Public Health Dent. 2021; 19(4): 259-263. https://doi.org/10.4103/jiaphd.jiaphd\_225\_20.
- **[15]** Tungare S, Paranjpe AG. Diet and Nutrition to Prevent Dental Problems. 2023 Jul 10. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/nbk534248/.
- **[16]** Takenaka S, Ohsumi T, Noiri Y. Evidence-based strategy for dental biofilms: Current evidence of mouthwashes on dental biofilm and gingivitis. Jpn Dent Sci Rev. 2019; 55(1): 33-40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdsr.2018.07.001
- **[17]** Philip N, Suneja B, Walsh LJ. Ecological approaches to dental caries prevention: Paradigm shift or shibboleth? Caries Res. 2018; 52(1-2): 153-165. https://doi.org/10.1159/000484985.
- **[18]** Saad H, Escoube R, Babajko S, Houari S. Fluoride intake through dental care products: A systematic review. Front Oral Health. 2022; 3: 916372. https://doi.org/10.3389/froh.2022.916372.
- **[19]** Malcangi G, Patano A, Morolla R, De Santis M, Piras F, Settanni V, Mancini A, Di Venere D, Inchingolo F, Inchingolo AD, Dipalma G, Inchingolo AM. Analysis of dental enamel remineralization: A systematic review of technique comparisons. Bioengineering (Basel). 2023;10(4):472. https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering10040472.
- **[20]** Spatafora G, Li Y, He X, Cowan A, Tanner ACR. The evolving microbiome of dental caries. Microorganisms*.* 2024; 12(1): 121. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms12010121.
- **[21]** Siddiqui R, Badran Z, Boghossian A, Alharbi AM, Alfahemi H, Khan NA. The increasing importance of the oral microbiome in periodontal health and disease. Future Sci OA. 2023;9(8):FSO856. https://doi.org/10.2144/fsoa-2023- 0062.
- **[22]** Dagli N, Sinha, S, Haque M, Kumar S. Exploring the perspective of oral microbiome studies in pubmed database: a bibliometric appraisal. Cureus. 2024; 16: e53824. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.53824.
- **[23]** Kozak M, Pawlik A. The Role of the Oral Microbiome in the Development of Diseases. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24(6):5231. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24065231.
- **[24]** Hbibi A. Sikkou K, Khedid K, El Hamzaoui S, Bouziane A, Benazza, D. Antimicrobial activity of honey in periodontal disease: A systematic review. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2020, 75(4): 807-826. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkz527.
- **[25]** Badders J, Coblens O, Ranasinghe V, Shabani S. Medical honey in head and neck cancer. Cureus. 2024;16(1):e52822. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.52822.
- **[26]** Gucwa K, Kusznierewicz B, Milewski S, Van Dijck P, Szweda P. Antifungal activity and synergism with azoles of Polish propolis. Pathogen*s*. 2018; 7(2): 56. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens7020056.
- **[27]** Temple NJ. A rational definition for functional foods: A perspective. Front Nutr. 2022, 29: 957516. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2022.957516.
- **[28]** De Felice SL. The nutraceutical revolution: its impact on food industry R&D, Trends Food Sci Technol. 1995, 6(2): 59- 61. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-2244(00)88944-X.
- **[29]** Santini A, Cammarata SM, Capone G, Ianaro A, Tenore GC, Pani L, Novellino E. Nutraceuticals: opening the debate for a regulatory framework. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2018;84(4):659-672. https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.13496.
- **[30]** Mackin C, Dahiya D, Nigam PS. Honey as a natural nutraceutical: Its combinational therapeutic strategies applicable to blood ınfections—septicemia, HIV, SARS-CoV-2, Malaria. Pharmaceuticals*.* 2023; 16(8): 1154. https://doi.org/10.3390/ph16081154.
- **[31]** Ramsay EI, Rao S, Madathil L, Hegde SK, Baliga-Rao MP, George T, Baliga MS. Honey in oral health and care: A mini review. J Oral Biosci. 2019; 61(1): 32-36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.job.2018.12.003.
- **[32]** Jicman D, Sârbu MI, Fotea S, Nechifor A, Bălan G, Anghele M, Vasile CI, Niculeț E, Sârbu N, Rebegea L-F, Tatu AL. Oral mucositis ınduced by chemoradiotherapy in head and neck cancer—A short review about the therapeutic management and the benefits of bee honey. Medicina. 2022; 58(6):751. https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina58060751.
- **[33]** Aga MB, Sharma V, Dar AH, Dash KK, Singh A, Shams R, Khan SA. Comprehensive review on functional and nutraceutical properties of honey. eFood. 2023; 4: e71. https://doi.org/10.1002/efd2.71.
- **[34]** Choudhary P, Tushir S, Bala M, Sharma S, Sangha MK, Rani H, Yewle NR, Kumar P, Singla D, Chandran D, Kumar M, Mekhemar M. Exploring the potential of bee-derived antioxidants for maintaining oral hygiene and dental health: A comprehensive review. Antioxidants. 2023; 12(7): 1452. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox12071452
- **[35]** Pashte VV, Pashte SV, Said PP. Nutraceutical properties of natural honey to fight health issues: A comprehensive review. J Pharmacogn Phytochem 2020; 9(5): 234-242. https://doi.org/10.22271/phyto.2020.v9.i5d.12220.
- **[36]** Cenzato N, Khijmatgar S, Carloni P, Dongiovanni P, Meroni M, Del Fabbro M, Tartaglia GM. What is the use of nutraceuticals in dentistry? A scoping review. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2023**;** 27(11): 4899–4913. https://doi.org/10.26355/eurrev\_202306\_32607.
- **[37]** Dama A, Shpati K, Daliu P, Dumur S, Gorica E, Santini A. Targeting metabolic diseases: The role of nutraceuticals in modulating oxidative stress and ınflammation. Nutrients 2024, 16(4), 507. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu16040507.
- **[38]** Escured O, Seijo MC. Honey: Chemical composition, stability and authenticity. Foods. 2019; 8(11): 577. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods8110577.
- **[39]** Scholz MBDS, Quinhone Júnior A, Delamuta BH, Nakamura JM, Baudraz MC, Reis MO, Kato T, Pedrão MR, Dias LF, Dos Santos DTR, Kitzberger CSG, Bianchini FP. Indication of the geographical origin of honey using its physicochemical characteristics and multivariate analysis. J Food Sci Technol. 2020;57(5):1896-1903. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-019-04225-3.
- **[40]** Pita-Calvo C, Vázquez M. Honeydew honeys: A review on the characterization and authentication of botanical and geographical origins. J Agric Food Chem. 2018**;** 66(11): 2523-2537. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b05807.
- **[41]** Lazarević K.B, Jovetić M.S, Tešić ŽL. physicochemical parameters as a tool for the assessment of origin of honey. J AOAC Int. 2017; 100(4): 840-851. https://doi.org/10.5740/jaoacint.17-0143.
- **[42]** Alaerjani WMA, Abu-Melha S, Alshareef RMH, Al-Farhan BS, Ghramh HA, Al-Shehri BMA, Bajaber MA, Khan KA, Alrooqi MM, Modawe GA, Mohammed MEA. Biochemical reactions and their biological contributions in honey. Molecules. 2022; 27(15): 4719. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27154719.
- **[43]** Yang W, Zhang C, Li C, Huang ZY, Miao X. Pathway of 5-hydroxymethyl-2-furaldehyde formation in honey. J Food Sci Technol. 2019; 56(5): 2417-2425. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-019-03708-7.
- **[44]** STANDARD FOR HONEY CXS 12-19811 Adopted in 1981. Revised in 1987, 2001. Amended in 2019, 2022, Available at https://www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius/shproxy/en/?lnk=1&url=https%253A%252F%252Fworkspace.fao.org%252Fsites%252Fcodex%252FStandards%252FCX S%2B12-1981%252Fcxs\_012e.pdf Accessed April 24, 2024
- **[45]** Marcolin LC, Ramos Lima L, Arias JLde O, Berrio ACB, Kupski L, Barbosa SC, Primel EG. Meliponinae and *Apis mellifera* honey in southern Brazil: Physicochemical characterization and determination of pesticides. Food Chem. 2021; *363*: 130175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.130175.
- **[46]** LeBlanc BW, Eggleston G, Sammataro D, Cornett C, Dufault R, Deeby T, St Cyr E. Formation of hydroxymethylfurfural in domestic high-fructose corn syrup and its toxicity to the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*). J Agric Food Chem. 2009**;** 57(16): 7369-7376. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf9014526.
- **[47]** Sakač MB, Jovanov PT, Marić AZ, Pezo LL, Kevrešan ŽS, Novaković AR, Nedeljković NM. Physicochemical properties and mineral content of honey samples from Vojvodina (Republic of Serbia). Food Chem. 2019**;** *276*: 15-21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.09.149.
- **[48]** Kadri SM, Zaluski R, Orsi RO. Nutritional and mineral contents of honey extracted by centrifugation and pressed processes. Food Chem. 2017; 218: 237-241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.09.071.
- **[49]** Kieliszek M, Piwowarek K, Kot A.M, Wojtczuk M, Roszko M, Bryła M, Petkoska TA. Recent advances and opportunities related to the use of bee products in food processing. Food Sci Nutr. 2023; 11: 4372-4397. https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.3411.
- **[50]** Bereksi-Reguig D, Bouchentouf S, Allali H, Adamczuk A, Kowalska G, Kowalski R. Trace elements and heavy metal contents in west Algerian natural honey. J Anal Methods Chem. 2022; 2022: 7890856. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/7890856.
- **[51]** Seraglio SKT, Schulz M, Brugnerotto P, Silva B, Gonzaga LV, Fett R, Costa ACO. Quality, composition and healthprotective properties of citrus honey: A review. Food Res Int. 2021; 143: 110268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2021.110268.
- **[52]** Rossano R, Larocca M, Polito T, Perna AM, Padula MC, Martelli G, Riccio P. What are the proteolytic enzymes of honey and what they do tell us? A fingerprint analysis by 2-D zymography of unifloral honeys. PLoS One. 2012; 7(11): e49164. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049164.
- **[53]** Alaerjani WMA, Abu-Melha S, Alshareef RMH, Al-Farhan BS, Ghramh HA, Al-Shehri BMA, Bajaber MA, Khan KA, Alrooqi MM, Modawe GA, Mohammed MEA. Biochemical reactions and their biological contributions in honey. Molecules. 2022 ;27(15):4719. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27154719.
- **[54]** Alaerjani WMA, Mohammed MEA. Impact of floral and geographical origins on honey quality parameters in Saudi Arabian regions. Sci Rep. 2024 Apr 15;14(1):8720. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-59359-y.
- **[55]** Enzymatic and Nonenzymatic Food Spoilage. In Food Microbiology: Principles into Practice, eds O. Erkmen and T.F. Bozoglu. 2016**.** https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119237860.ch24.
- **[56]** Habib HM, Kheadr E, Ibrahim WH. Inhibitory effects of honey from arid land on some enzymes and protein damage. Food Chem. 2021;364:130415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.130415.
- **[57]** D'Archivio M, Filesi C, Varì R, Scazzocchio B, Masella R. Bioavailability of the polyphenols: Status and controversies. Int J Mol Sci. 2010; 11(4): 1321–1342. https://doi.org/10.3390%2Fijms11041321.
- **[58]** Walle T. Absorption and metabolism of flavonoids. Free Radic Biol Med. 2004, 36(7): 829–837. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2004.01.002.
- **[59]** Schramm DD, Karim M, Schrader HR, Holt RR, Cardetti M, Keen CL. Honey with high levels of antioxidants can provide protection to healthy human subjects. J Agric Food Chem. 2003. 51(6): 1732-1735. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf025928k.
- **[60]** Spencer JP, Chowrimootoo G, Choudhury R, Debnam ES, Srai SK, Rice-Evans C. The small intestine can both absorb and glucuronidate luminal flavonoids. FEBS Lett. 1999; 458(2): 224–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0014- 5793(99)01160-6.
- **[61]** Del Rio D, Rodriguez-Mateos A, Spencer JPE, Tognolini M, Borges G, Crozier A. Dietary (poly)phenolics in human health: Structures, bioavailability, and evidence of protective effects against chronic diseases. Antioxid Redox Sign. 2013; 18: 1818–1892. https://doi.org/10.1089%2Fars.2012.4581.
- **[62]** Hussain SA, Sulaiman AA, Alhaddad H, Alhadidi Q. Natural polyphenols: Influence on membrane transporters. J Intercult Ethnopharmacol. 2016. 5(1): 97–104. https://doi.org/10.5455%2Fjice.20160118062127.
- **[63]** Crozier A, Del Rio D, Clifford MN. Bioavailability of dietary flavonoids and phenolic compounds. Mol Asp Med. 2010; 31: 446–467. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2010.09.007.
- **[64]** Cianciosi D, Forbes-Hernández TY, Afrin S, Gasparrini M, Reboredo-Rodriguez P, Manna PP, Zhang J, Bravo Lamas L, Martínez Flórez S, Agudo Toyos P, Quiles JL, Giampieri F, Battino M. Phenolic compounds in honey and their associated health benefits: A review. Molecules. 2018;23(9):2322. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23092322.
- **[65]** Kuś PM, Szweda P, Jerković I, Tuberoso CIG. Activity of Polish unifloral honeys against pathogenic bacteria and its correlation with colour, phenolic content, antioxidant capacity and other parameters. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2016; 62(3): 269–276. https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.12541.
- **[66]** Sultana S, Foster K, Lim LY, Hammer K, Locher C. A review of the phytochemistry and bioactivity of clover honeys (*Trifolium* spp.). Foods*.* 2022; 11(13):1901. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11131901.
- **[67]** Chu LI, Berahim Z, Mohamad S, Shahidan WNS, Yhaya MF, Zainuddin SLA. Phytochemical compounds of raw versus methanol-extracted Kelulut, Tualang, and Manuka honeys. Cureus. 2023; 15(4): e38297. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.38297.
- **[68]** McLoone P, Tabys D, Yunussova S, Zhumbayeva A, Verrall S, Sungurtas J, Austin C, Allwood JW, McDougall GJ. Qualitative phytochemical analysis and in vitro investigation of the immunomodulatory properties of honeys produced in Kazakhstan. Nat Prod Res. 2023;37(6):996-1001. https://doi.org/10.1080/14786419.2022.2095382.
- **[69]** Bankova V, Popova M, Trusheva B. The phytochemistry of the honeybee. Phytochemistry. 2018;155:1-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2018.07.007.
- **[70]** Mureşan CI, Buttstedt A. pH-dependent stability of honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) major royal jelly proteins. Sci Rep. 2019; 9: 9014. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-45460-0.
- **[71]** Lotfinia F, Norouzi M-R, Ghasemi-Mobarakeh L, Naeimirad M. Anthocyanin/honey-ıncorporated alginate hydrogel as a bio-based pH-responsive/antibacterial/antioxidant wound dressing. J Funct Biomater. 2023; 14(2):72. https://doi.org/10.3390/jfb14020072.
- **[72]** McArdle C, Coyle S, Santos D. The impact of wound pH on the antibacterial properties of Medical Grade Honey when applied to bacterial isolates present in common foot and ankle wounds. An in vitro study. J Foot Ankle Res 2023; 16(1): 66. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13047-023-00653-9.
- **[73]** Huyop F, Ullah S, Abdul Wahab R, Huda N, Sujana IGA, Saloko S, Andriani AASPR, Antara NS, Gunam IBW. Physicochemical and antioxidant properties of Apis cerana honey from Lombok and Bali Islands. PLoS One. 2024;19(4):e0301213. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0301213.
- **[74]** da Silva PM, Gauche C, Gonzaga LV, Costa AC, Fett R. Honey: Chemical composition, stability and authenticity. Food Chem. 2016; 196: 309-323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.09.051.
- **[75]** Mokhtari S, Sanati I, Abdolahy S, Hosseini Z. Evaluation of the effect of honey on the healing of tooth extraction wounds in 4 - to 9-year-old children. Niger J Clin Pract. 2019; 22(10): 1328-1334. https://doi.org/10.4103/njcp.njcp\_102\_19.
- **[76]** Curuțiu C, Dițu LM, Grumezescu AM, Holban AM. Polyphenols of Honeybee origin with applications in dental medicine. Antibiotics*.* 2020; 9(12):856. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9120856.
- **[77]** Martinello M, Mutinelli F. Antioxidant activity in bee products: A review. Antioxidants (Basel). 2021; 10(1): 71. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox10010071.
- **[78]** Khan H, Sureda A, Belwal T, Çetinkaya S, Süntar İ, Tejada S, Devkota HP, Ullah H, Aschner M. Polyphenols in the treatment of autoimmune diseases. Autoimmun Rev. 2019;18(7):647-657. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2019.05.001.
- **[79]** Abbasi AJ, Mohammadi F, Bayat M, Gema SM, Ghadirian H, Seifi H, Bayat H, Bahrami N. Applications of Propolis in Dentistry: A Review. Ethiop J Health Sci. 2018;28(4):505-512. https://doi.org/10.4314/ejhs.v28i4.16.
- **[80]** Babaee N, Hosseinkazemi H, Pouramir M, Khakbaz Baboli O, Salehi M, Khadir F, Bijani A, Mehryari M. Salivary oxidant/ antioxidant status and hematological parameters in patients with recurrent aphthous stomatitis. Caspian J Intern Med. 2016;7(1):13-18.
- **[81]** Samarghandian S, Farkhondeh T, Samini F. Honey and health: A review of recent clinical research. Pharmacogn Res. 2017;9(2):121-127. https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-8490.204647.
- **[82]** Aghel S, Pouramir M, Moghadamnia AA, Moslemi D, Molania T, Ghassemi L, Motallebnejad M. Effect of Iranian Propolis on Salivary Total Antioxidant Capacity in Gamma-irradiated Rats. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects. 2014;8(4):235-259. https://doi.org/10.5681/joddd.2014.042.
- **[83]** El-Sharkawy HM, Anees MM, Van Dyke TE. Propolis ımproves periodontal status and glycemic control in patients with type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and chronic periodontitis: A randomized clinical trial. J Periodontol. 2016; 87(12): 1418- 1426. https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.2016.150694.
- **[84]** Giammarinaro E, Marconcini S, Genovesi A, Poli G, Lorenzi C, Covani U. Propolis as an adjuvant to non-surgical periodontal treatment: A clinical study with salivary anti-oxidant capacity assessment. Minerva Stomatol. 2018;67(5):183-188. https://doi.org/10.23736/s0026-4970.18.04143-2.
- **[85]** Kumari S, Naik P, Vishma BL, Salian SR, Devkar RA, Khan S, Mutalik S, Kalthur G, Adiga SK. Mitigating effect of Indian propolis against mitomycin C induced bone marrow toxicity. Cytotechnology. 2016;68(5):1789-1800. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10616-015-9931-4.
- **[86]** Bonamigo T, Campos JF, Alfredo TM, Balestieri JB, Cardoso CA, Paredes-Gamero EJ, de Picoli Souza K, Dos Santos EL. Antioxidant, cytotoxic, and toxic activities of propolis from two native bees in Brazil: *Scaptotrigona depilis* and

*Melipona quadrifasciata* anthidioides. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2017;2017:1038153. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/1038153.

- **[87]** Özkök D, Silici S. Antioxidant activities of honeybee products and their mixtures. Food Sci Biotechnol. 2017;26(1):201- 206. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10068-017-0027-0.
- **[88]** Kocot J, Kiełczykowska M, Luchowska-Kocot D, Kurzepa J, Musik I. Antioxidant potential of propolis, bee pollen, and royal jelly: Possible medical application. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2018;2018:7074209. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/7074209.
- **[89]** Petti S, Scully C. Polyphenols, oral health and disease: A review. J Dent. 2009;37(6):413-423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2009.02.003.
- **[90]** Ding Y, Yao H, Yao Y, Fai LY, Zhang Z. Protection of dietary polyphenols against oral cancer. Nutrients. 2013; 5(6): 2173-2191. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu5062173.
- **[91]** Chatterjee A, Saluja M, Agarwal G, Alam M. Green tea: A boon for periodontal and general health. J Indian Soc Periodontol. 2012; 16(2): 161-167. https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-124x.99256.
- **[92]** Combarros-Fuertes P, Estevinho LM, Dias LG, Castro JM, Tomás-Barberán FA, Tornadijo ME, Fresno-Baro JM. Bioactive components and antioxidant and antibacterial activities of different varieties of honey: A screening prior to clinical application. J Agric Food Chem. 2019;67(2):688-698. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.8b05436.
- **[93]** Colmenares-Cuevas SI, Contreras-Oliva A, Salinas-Ruiz J, Hidalgo-Contreras JV, Flores-Andrade E, García-Ramírez EJ. Development and study of the functional properties of marshmallow enriched with bee (*Apis mellifera*) honey and encapsulated probiotics (*Lactobacillus rhamnosus*). Front Nutr. 2024; 11: 1353530. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2024.1353530.
- **[94]** Khataybeh B, Jaradat Z, Ababneh Q. Anti-bacterial, anti-biofilm and anti-quorum sensing activities of honey: A review. J Ethnopharmacol. 2023;317:116830. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2023.116830.
- **[95]** Machado A, Zamora-Mendoza L, Alexis F, Álvarez-Suarez JM. Use of plant extracts, bee-derived products, and probiotic-related applications to fight multidrug-resistant pathogens in the post-antibiotic era. Futur Pharmacol. 2023; 3(3): 535-567. https://doi.org/10.3390/futurepharmacol3030034.
- **[96]** Taş-Küçükaydın M, Tel-Çayan G, Çayan F, Küçükaydın S, Çiftçi BH, Ceylan Ö, Duru ME. Chemometric classification of chestnut honeys from different regions in Turkey based on their phenolic compositions and biological activities. Food Chem. 2023; 415: 135727. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2023.135727.
- **[97]** Feng T, Liu M, Liu G, Chen M, Sun L, Wang M, Ren X*.* Characterization and classification of non-herbal honey and herb honey with the chemometric approach. Eur Food Res Technol. 2022; 3: 777-785. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-022-04175-9.
- **[98]** Gurinovich GV, Soldatova SS. Antioxidative activity of bee honey and propolis in meat systems. Latest achievements in the field of medicine, health care and health-saving technologies. Collection of materials of the first international congress. Under the general editorship of A.Y. Prosekov. Kemerovo, 2022. Publisher: Kemerovo State University. http://dx.doi.org/10.21603/-I-IC-34
- **[99]** Hassan NH, Cacciola F, Chong NS, Arena K, Marriott PJ, Wong YF. An updated review of extraction and liquid chromatography techniques for analysis of phenolic compounds in honey. J Food Comp Analy. 2022; 114: 104751. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2022.104751.
- **[100]** Bazaid AS, Alamri A, Almashjary MN, Qanash H, Almishaal AA, Amin J, Binsaleh NK, Kraiem J, Aldarhami A, Alafnan A. Antioxidant, anticancer, antibacterial, antibiofilm properties and gas chromatography and mass spectrometry analysis of Manuka Honey: A nature's bioactive honey. Appl Sci*.* 2022; 12(19):9928. https://doi.org/10.3390/app12199928.
- **[101]** Farkas ÁBVL, Kõszegi T, Csepregi R, Kerekes E, Horváth G, Szabó P, Gaál K, Kocsis M. Antibacterial and biofilm degradation effects of hungarian honeys linked with botanical origin, antioxidant capacity and mineral content. Front Nutr. 2022; 9: 953470. https://doi.org/10.3389%2Ffnut.2022.953470.
- **[102]** Obey JK, Ngeiywa MM, Lehesvaara M, Kauhanen J, von Wright A, Tikkanen-Kaukanen C. Antimicrobial activity of commercial organic honeys against clinical isolates of human pathogenic bacteria. Org Agr. 2022; 12: 267–277. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13165-022-00389-z.
- **[103]** Wang RS, Dong PH, Shuai XX, Chen MS. Evaluation of different black mulberry fruits (*Morus nigra* L.) based on phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity. Foods. 2022; 11(9): 1252. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11091252.
- **[104]** Lawag IL, Lim L-Y, Joshi R, Hammer KA, Locher C. A comprehensive survey of phenolic constituents reported in monofloral honeys around the globe. Foods*.* 2022; 11(8):1152. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11081152.
- **[105]** Ibrahim HR, Nanbu F, Miyata T. Potent antioxidant peptides derived from honey major protein enhance tolerance of eukaryotic cells toward oxidative stress. Food Prod Process Nutr. 2021**;** *3*: 11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43014-021- 00052-2.
- **[106]** Wang L, Ning F, Liu T, Huang X, Zhang J, Liu Y, Wu D, Luo L. Physicochemical properties, chemical composition, and antioxidant activity of Dendropanax dentiger honey. LWT. 2021, 147(4): 111693. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.111693.
- **[107]** Mărgăoan R, Topal E, Balkanska R, Yücel B, Oravecz T, Cornea-Cipcigan M, Vodnar DC. Monofloral honeys as a potential source of natural antioxidants, minerals and medicine. Antioxidants. 2021; 10:1023. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox10071023.
- **[108]** Collins W, Lowen N, Blake DJ. Caffeic acid esters are effective bactericidal compounds against Paenibacilluslarvae by altering ıntracellular oxidant and antioxidant levels. Biomolecules. 2019;9(8):312. https://doi.org/10.3390/biom9080312.
- **[109]** Sartore S, Boyd S, Slabaugh D, Jain N, Piepenbrink B, Blount S, Alla Z, Cheso W, Belanger H, Arnold TP. Honey and its antimicrobial properties: A function of a single component, or the sum of its parts? Cureus. 2021; 13: e17718. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.17718.
- **[110]** Alvarez-Suarez JM, Tulipani S, Díaz D, Estevez Y, Romandini S, Giampieri F, Damiani E, Astolfi P, Bompadre S, Battino M. Antioxidant and antimicrobial capacity of several monofloral Cuban honeys and their correlation with color, polyphenol content and other chemical compounds. Food Chem Toxicol. 2010; 48(8-9): 2490-2499. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct2010.06.021.
- **[111]** Romário-Silva D, Alencar SM, Bueno-Silva B, Sardi JdCO, Franchin M, Carvalho RDPd, Ferreira TedSA, Rosalen PL Antimicrobial activity of honey against oral microorganisms: Current reality, methodological challenges and solutions. Microorganisms. 2022; 10: 2325. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10122325
- **[112]** Albaridi NA. Antibacterial potency of honey. Int J Microbiol. 2019; 2019: 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/2464507
- **[113]** Bucekova M, Buriova M, Pekarik L, Majtan V, Majtan J. Phytochemicals-mediated production of hydrogen peroxide ıs crucial for high antibacterial activity of Honeydew Honey. Sci Rep. 2018; 8(1): 9061. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27449-3.
- **[114]** Kuś PM, Szweda P, Jerković I, Tuberoso CIG. Activity of Polish unifloral honeys against pathogenic bacteria and ıts correlation with colour, phenolic content, antioxidant capacity and other parameters. Lett Appl Microbiol*.* 2016; 62: 269–276. https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.12541.
- **[115]** Mandal MD, Mandal S. Honey: its medicinal property and antibacterial activity. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed. 2011; 1: 154–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2221-1691(11)60016-6.
- **[116]** Bowen WH, Lawrence RA. Comparison of the cariogenicity of cola, honey, cow milk, human milk, and sucrose. Pediatrics. 2005; 116(4): 921–926. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2004-2462.
- **[117]** Safii SH, Tompkins GR, Duncan WJ. Periodontal application of Manuka Honey: Antimicrobial and demineralising effects ın vitro. Int J Dent. 2017; 2017: 9874535. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/9874535.
- **[118]** Grobler SR, Du Toit IJ, Basson NJ. The effect of honey on human tooth enamel in vitro observed by electron microscopy and microhardness measurements. Archiv Oral Biol. 1994; 39: 147-153. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003- 9969(94)90110-90114.
- **[119]** Ahmadi-Motamayel F, Rezaei-Soufi L, Kiani L, Alikhani MY, Poorolajal J, Moghadam M. Effects of honey, glucose, and fructose on the enamel demineralization depth. J Den Sci. 2013; 8: 147-150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jds.2012.02.004.
- **[120]** Habluetzel A, Schmid C, Carvalho TS, Lusi A, Eick S*.* Impact of honey on dental erosion and adhesion of early bacterial colonizers. Sci Rep. 2018; 8: 10936. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-29188-x
- **[121]** Celik ZC, Yavlal GO, Yanıkoglu F, Kargul B, Tagtekin D, Stookey GK, Peker S, Hayran O. Do Ginger Extract, Natural Honey and Bitter Chocolate Remineralize Enamel Surface as Fluoride Toothpastes? An In-Vitro Study. Niger J Clin Pract. 2021;24(9):1283-1288. https://doi.org/10.4103/njcp.njcp\_683\_20.
- **[122]** Senthilkumar V, Ramesh S. Remineralisation potential of grape seed, ginger honey-an in vitro study. Int J Dent Oral Sci. 2021;08(02):1739-1743. http://dx.doi.org/10.19070/2377-8075-21000343.
- **[123]** Gazzani G, Daglia M, Papetti A. Food components with anticaries activity. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2012; 23(2): 153- 159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2011.09.003.
- **[124]** Jeon JG, Rosalen PL, Falsetta ML, Koo H. Natural products in caries research: current (limited) knowledge, challenges and future perspective. Caries Res. 2011;45(3):243-263. https://doi.org/10.1159/000327250.
- **[125]** Grabek-Lejko D, Hyrchel T. The antibacterial properties of Polish Honey against streptococcus mutans—A causative agent of dental caries. Antibiotics*.* 2023, 12*(*11): 1640. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12111640.
- **[126]** Nassar HMLiM, Gregory RL. Effect of honey on *Streptococcus mutans* growth and biofilm formation. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2012; 78(2): 536-540. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.05538-11.
- **[127]** Ahmadi-Motamayel F, Hendi SS, Alikhani MY, Khamverdi Z. Antibacterial activity of honey on cariogenic bacteria. J Dent (Tehran). 2013; 10(1): 10-15.
- **[128]** Badet C, Quero F. The in vitro effect of manuka honeys on growth and adherence of oral bacteria. Anaerobe. 2011; 17(1): 19-22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2010.12.007.
- **[129]** Schmidlin PR, English H, Duncan W, Belibasakis GN, Thurnheer T. Antibacterial potential of Manuka honey against three oral bacteria in vitro. Swiss Dent J. 2014; 124(9): 922-924. https://doi.org/10.61872/sdj-2014-09-01.
- **[130]** Abdelmegid F, Al-Agamy M, Alwohaibi A, Ka'abi H, Salama F. Effect of honey and green tea solutions on *Streptococcus mutans*. J Clin Pediat Dent. 2015; 39(5): 435-441. https://doi.org/10.17796/1053-4628-39.5.435.
- **[131]** Yadav N, Garla B, Reddy V, Tandon S, Prasad S. Antimicrobial effect of honey on *Streptococcus mutans* of dental plaque. J Oral Health Commun Dent 2014; 8(2): 72-75.
- **[132]** Rupesh S, Winnier JJ, Nayak UA, Rao AP, Reddy NV, Peter J. Evaluation of the effects of manuka honey on salivary levels of mutans streptococci in children: A pilot study. J Ind Soc Pedod Prev Dent. 2014; 32(3): 212–219. https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-4388.135827.
- **[133]** Johnston M, Mcbride M, Dahiya D, Owusu-Apenten R. Antibacterial activity of Manuka honey and its components: An overview. AIMS Microbiol. 2018*;* 4(4*)*: 655–664. http://dx.doi.org/10.3934/microbiol.2018.4.655.
- **[134]** Nolan VC, Harrison J, Wright JEE, Cox JAG. Clinical significance of Manuka, and medical-grade honey for antibioticresistant infections: A systematic review. Antibiotics 2020; 9(11): 766. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9110766.
- **[135]** Ibrahim MA, Berahim Z, Ahmad A, Taib H. The effect of locally delivered Tualang honey on healing of periodontal tissues during non-surgical periodontal therapy. IIUM J Orofac Health Sci. 2021; 2(2): 16–26. https://doi.org/10.31436/ijohs.v2i2.65.
- **[136]** Ahmed S, Othman NH. Review of the medicinal effects of tualang honey and a comparison with manuka honey. Malays J Med Sci. 2013;20(3):6-13.
- **[137]** Tan HT, Rahman RA, Gan SH, Halim AS, Hassan SA, Sulaiman SA, Kirnpal-Kaur B. The antibacterial properties of Malaysian tualang honey against wound and enteric microorganisms in comparison to manuka honey. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2009; 9: 34. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6882-9-34.
- **[138]** Morroni G, Alvarez-Suarez JM, Brenciani A, Simoni S, Fioriti S, Pugnaloni A, Giampieri F, Mazzoni L, Gasparrini M, Marini E, Mingoia M, Battino M, Giovanetti E. Comparison of the antimicrobial activities of four honeys from three countries (New Zealand, Cuba, and Kenya). Front Microbiol. 2018; 9: 1378. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01378.
- **[139]** Voidarou C, Antoniadou M, Rozos G, Alexopoulos A, Giorgi E, Tzora A, Skoufos I, Varzakas T, Bezirtzoglou E. An ın vitro study of different types of Greek honey as potential natural antimicrobials against dental caries and other oral pathogenic microorganisms. Case study simulation of oral cavity conditions. Appl Sci. 2021; 11(14): 6318. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11146318.
- **[140]** Basson NJ, Grobler SR. Antimicrobial activity of two South African honeys produced from indigenous *Leucospermum*  cordifolium and Erica species on selected micro-organisms. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2008; 8: 41. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6882-8-41.
- **[141]** Wilkinson JM, Cavanagh HMA. Antibacterial activity of 13 honeys against *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aueruginosa*. J Med Food. 2005; 8(1): 100-103. https://doi.org/10.1089/jmf.2005.8.100.
- **[142]** Bogdanov S. Nature and origin of the antibacterial substances in honey. LWT—Food Sci Technol. 1997; *30*(7): 748– 753. https://doi.org/10.1006/fstl.1997.0259.
- **[143]** Ahmadi-Motamayel F, Hendi SS, Alikhani MY, Khamverdi Z. Antibacterial activity of honey on cariogenic bacteria. J Dent (Tehran). 2013;10(1):10-15.
- **[144]** Badawy OF, Shafii SS, Tharwat EE, Kamal AM. Antibacterial activity of bee honey and its therapeutic usefulness against *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella typhimurium* infection. Rev Sci Tech. 2004;23(3):1011-1022. https://doi.org/10.20506/rst.23.3.1543.
- **[145]** Gumilar MS, Fitria KT, Lubis S. Antibacterial activity of Jambi Forest Honey on cariogenic bacteria *Lactobacillus acidophilus.* 4th Riau Medical Scientific and Expo 2022. NST Proceedings. (2022). pages 1-11. http://dx.doi.org/10.11594/nstp.2022.2801.
- **[146]** Deglovic J, Majtanova N, Majtan J. Antibacterial and antibiofilm effect of honey in the prevention of dental caries: A recent perspective. Foods 2022; 11(17): 2670. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11172670.
- **[147]** Wang S, Qiu Y, Zhu F. An updated review of functional ingredients of Manuka honey and their value-added innovations. Food Chem. 2024; 440: 138060. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2023.138060.
- **[148]** Kwakman PHS, Velde AAt, de Boer L, Speijer D, Christina Vandenbroucke-Grauls MJ, Zaat SAJ. How honey kills bacteria. FASEB J. 2010; 24(7): 2576-2582. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.09-150789.
- **[149]** Gunes NL, Belenli AD, Hranitz JM, Mengilig S, Selova S. Stress responses of honeybees to organic acid and essential oil treatments against varroa mites. J Apic Res. 2017; 56(2): 175-181. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00218839.2017.1291229.
- **[150]** Almasaudi S. The antibacterial activities of honey. Saudi J Biol Sci. 2021; 28(4): 2188-2196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.10.017.
- **[151]** Henriques AF, Jenkins RE, Burton NF, Cooper RA. The effect of Manuka honey on the structure *of Pseudomonas aeruginosa.* Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2011; 30(2): 167-171. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-010-1065-1
- **[152]** Oral health. (**2023**, March 14). Available from: www.who.int. https://www.who.int/news-room/factsheets/detail/oral-health#:~:text=Most%20cases%20are%20dental%20caries. Accessed April 5, 2024
- **[153]** https://www.who.int/news/item/18-11-2022-who-highlights-oral-health-neglect-affecting-nearly-half-of-theworld-s-population. Accessed April 5, 2024
- **[154]** Isola G. The impact of diet, nutrition and nutraceuticals on oral and periodontal health. Nutrients. 2020; 12(9): 2724. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12092724.
- **[155]** Guthmiller, J.M, Novak, K.F. Periodontal Diseases. In: Brogden KA, Guthmiller JM, editors. Polymicrobial Diseases. Washington (DC): ASM Press; 2002. Chapter 8. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK2496/
- **[156]** Opšivač D, Musić L, Badovinac A, Šekelja A, Božić D. Therapeutic Manuka honey as an adjunct to non-surgical periodontal therapy: A 12-month follow-up, split-mouth pilot study. Materials (Basel, Switzerland). 2023; 16(3): 1248. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16031248.
- **[157]** Gunsolley JC. A meta-analysis of six-month studies of antiplaque and antigingivitis agents. J Am Dent Assoc (1939). 2006; 137(12): 1649–1657. https://doi.org/10.14219/jada.archive.2006.0110.
- **[158]** Haraszthy VI, Sreenivasan PK, Zambon JJ. Community-level assessment of dental plaque bacteria susceptibility to triclosan over 19 years. BMC Oral Health. 2014; 14: 61 https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6831-14-61.
- **[159]** Klukowska M, Zou Y, Ponce D, Amini P. Rapid antigingivitis efficacy of a novel stannous fluoride dentrifice: Results from a 12-week randomized controlled clinical trial. Compend Contin Educ Dent. 2021; 42: e5-e9.
- **[160]** Quintas V, Prada-López I, Donos N, Suárez-Quintanilla D, Tomás I. Antiplaque effect of essential oils and 0.2% chlorhexidine on an in situ model of oral biofilm growth: A randomised clinical trial. PloS One. 2015; 10: e0117177. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117177.
- **[161]** Sinicropi MS, Iacopetta D, Ceramella J, Catalano A, Mariconda A, Pellegrino M, Saturnino C, Longo P, Aquaro S. Triclosan: A small molecule with controversial roles. Antibiotics. 2022: 11: 735. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11060735.
- **[162]** Poppolo Deus F, Ouanounou A. Chlorhexidine in dentistry: Pharmacology, uses, and adverse effects. Int Dent J. 2022; 72: 269-277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.identj.2022.01.005.
- **[163]** Ulfa Y, Sulistiawati, Hema A, Budi A, Fairuz M. The effect of 10% forest honey rinsing on dental plaque score for children aged 9–12 years. Sci Dent J. 2020; 4: 54-58. https://doi.org/10.4103/SDJ.SDJ\_49\_19.
- **[164]** Al-Kubaisi MW, Hassan Al-Ghurabi B. Evaluation of Manuka honey effects on dental plaque and bacterial load (Clinical Study). J Med Chem Sci. 2023; 6: 365-375. https://doi.org/10.26655/JMCHEMSCI.2023.2.17.
- **[165]** Nayak PA, Nayak UA, Mythili R. Effect of Manuka honey, chlorhexidine gluconate and xylitol on the clinical levels of dental plaque. Contemp Clin Dent. 2010; 1: 214–217. https://doi.org/10.4103/0976-237X.76386.
- **[166]** Atwa AD, AbuShahba RY, Mostafa M, Hashem MI. Effect of honey in preventing gingivitis and dental caries in patients undergoing orthodontic treatment. Saudi Dent J. 2014; 26: 108–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sdentj.2014.03.001.
- **[167]** Bansal A, Ingle AN, Kaur N, Ingle K, Charania Z. Effect of gum massage therapy with honey and olive oil on common pathogenic oral micro-organisms: A randomized controlled clinical trial. J Int Oral Health 2015; 7(11): 63-66.
- **[168]** Ahmadi-Motamayel F, Akbari E, Mahjoub R, Alikhani MY, Poorolajal J. Effect of chewing gum containing *Glycyrrhiza glabra*, honey, and vitamin E on oral health. J Herb Med. 2024; 43: 100831. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hermed.2023.100831.
- **[169]** Keskin M, Kaya G, Keskin S. Nanotechnology in Honey. In: Nanotechnology in Functional Foods (eds T. Bhattacharya and S. Ahmed), 2022. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119905059.ch4.
- **[170]** Keskin Ş, Mayda N, Keskin M, Özkök A. Investigation of Bilecik honeys in terms of melissopalynology and chemical analyses. Gıda. 2020; 45(2): 275 - 289. https://doi.org/10.15237/gida.GD19107.
- **[171]** Keskin M, Keskin Ş, Kolaylı S. Health-promoting benefits of honey. In: Preparation of Phytopharmaceuticals for the Management of Disorders. Academic Press, Elsevier, 2021, pp 303 – 306.
- **[172]** Philip D. Honey mediated green synthesis of gold nanoparticles. Spectrochim Acta Part A: Mol Biomol Spect. 2009; 73: 650–653. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2009.03.007.
- **[173]** Ghramh HA, Ibrahim EH, Kilany M. Study of anticancer, antimicrobial, immunomodulatory, and silver nanoparticles production by Sidr honey from three different sources. Food Sci Nutr. 2020; 8: 445 – 455. https://doi.org/10.1002%2Ffsn3.1328.
- **[174]** Mittal AK, Chisti Y, Banerjee UC. Synthesis of metallic nanoparticles using plant extracts . Biotechnol Adv. 2013; 31(2): 346-356. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2013.01.003.
- **[175]** Yang X, Zhuo Y, Zhu S, Luo Y, Feng Y, Dou Y. Novel and green synthesis of high-fluorescent carbon dots originated from honey for sensing and imaging. Biosens Bioelectron. 2014; 60: 292-298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2014.04.046.
- **[176]** Al-Brahim JS, Mohammed AE. Antioxidant, cytotoxic and antibacterial potential of biosynthesized nanoparticles using bee honey from two different floral sources in Saudi Arabia. Saudi J Biol Sci. 2020; 27(1): 363-373. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2019.10.006.
- **[177]** Boldeiu A, Simion M, Mihalache I, Radoi A, Banu M, Varasteanu P, Kusko M. Comparative analysis of honey and citrate stabilized gold nanoparticles: *In vitro* interaction with proteins and toxicity studies. J Photochem Photobiol B: Biol*.* 2019; 197: 111519. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2019.111519.
- **[178]** Neupane BP, Chaudhary D, Paudel S, Timsina S, Chapagain B, Jamarkattel N, Tiwari BR. Himalayan honey loaded iron oxide nanoparticles: Synthesis, characterization and study of antioxidant and antimicrobial activities Int J Nanomed. 2019; 14: 3533 – 3541. https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S196671.
- **[179]** Balasooriya ER, Jayasinghe CD, Jayawardena UA, Ruwanthika RWD, de Silva RM, Udagama PV. Honey mediated green synthesis of nanoparticles: New era of safe nanotechnology. J Nanomater. 2017; 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/5919836.
- **[180]** Vigneshwaran N, Nachane RP, Balasubramanya RH, Varadarajan PV. A novel one-pot 'green' synthesis of stable silver nanoparticles using soluble starch. Carbohydr Res. 2006; 341(12): 2012-2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2006.04.042.
- **[181]** Abou-Shaara HF, Staron M, Staroňová D. Potential applications of nanotechnology in apiculture. Entomol Appl Sci Lett. 2020; 7(4): 1-8.
- **[182]** Bonsignore G, Patrone M, Martinotti S, Ranzato E. "Green" Biomaterials: The promising role of honey. J Funct Biomater. 2021; 12(4): 72. https://doi.org/10.3390/jfb12040072.

This is an open access article which is publicly available on our journal's website under Institutional Repository at http://dspace.marmara.edu.tr.