Validated green spectroscopic manipulation of area under the curve (AUC) for estimation of Simvastatin: Application to nano-structured lipid carriers and niosomal systems

Islam M. MANNAA ^{1*} ^(D), Omaima N. EL GAZAYERLY ² ^(D), Aly A. ABDELBARY ^{2,3} ^(D), Sarah S. SALEH ⁴ ^(D), Dalia A. MOSTAFA ¹ ^(D)

- 1 Department of Pharmaceutics, October University for Modern Sciences and Arts, (MSA), Cairo, Egypt
- 2 Department of Pharmaceutics and Industrial Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt
- 3 School of Life and Medical Sciences, University of Hertfordshire Hosted by Global Academic Foundation, Cairo, Egypt
- 4 Department of Analytical Chemistry, October University for Modern Sciences and Arts, (MSA), Cairo, Egypt.
- * Corresponding Author. E-mail: islammannaa3@hotmail.com (I.M. Mannaa); Tel. +02-010-6515613.

Received: 10 May 2022 / Revised: 04 August 2022 / Accepted: 07 August 2022

ABSTRACT: This work introduced a green spectrophotometric method based on the manipulation of the area under the curve named Area under the curve correction method (AUCCM). The method was applied for the characterization and evaluation the in-vitro release of two nanocarrier systems namely, nano-structured lipid carrier (NLC) and niosomal system of Simvastatin (SIM), through measuring free SIM in presence of the possible excipients/interferents (oleic acid and cholesterol) in a challenging matrix. The estimation of SIM was calculated using the area under the curve (AUC) in the wavelength range of (234.5 - 245.5) nm which includes the λ max of SIM in the concentration range of (1-35 µg/mL). The method was validated in compliance with ICH guidelines. The greenness of the developed analytical method will be assessed and compared to other reported methods using the greenness assessment tools known as: National Environmental Methods Index (NEMI), Green Analytical Procedure Index (GAPI) and Eco-Scale. The method proved to be the best regrading greenness and and was successfully applied for the in-vitro release study of SIM from the two nano carrier systems.

KEYWORDS: Niosome; Nano-structured lipid carrier; Area under the curve; Green Analytical Procedure Index; Ecoscale.

1. INTRODUCTION

Simvastatin (SIM) (1S,3R,7S,8S,8aR)-8-[2-[(2R,4R)-4-hydroxy-6-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl] ethyl]-3,7dimethyl-1,2,3,7,8,8a-hexahydronaphthalen-1-yl 2,2-dimethylbutanoate. SIM is a white crystalline powder which is practically insoluble in water but freely soluble in alcohol. It is absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract and then hydrolyzed to its active β -hydroxy acid form. SIM undergoes extensive first-pass metabolism in its primary site of action, the liver, which leads to very poor oral bioavailability (less than 5% of the oral dose reaches the circulation as active metabolites). About 95 % of SIM and its active metabolite are bounded to plasma proteins. The half-life of the active metabolite is around 2 hours ¹.

Simvastatin (SIM) acts as a competitive inhibitor to HMG Co-A reductase enzyme leading to reduced cholesterol and lower levels of intracellular cholesterol ². Through the last decades, researches described pleiotropic effects of simvastatin including the improvement of endothelial dysfunction and increasing myocardial perfusion by increasing nitric oxide bioavailability through downregulation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) ³. Other reported effects were antioxidant effects, anti-inflammatory properties, stabilization of atherosclerotic plaques, immunosuppressive activity and inhibition of cardiac hypertrophy ⁴. SIM was described for the treatment of indications rather than hyperlipidemia such as rheumatoid arthritis ⁵. Recent studies showed that SIM has an important role in cell proliferation, so it was described for chronic

How to cite this article: Mannaa IS, El Gazayerly ON, Abdelbary AA, Saleh SS, Mostafa DA. Validated green spectroscopic manipulation of area under the curve (AUC) for estimation of Simvastatin: Application to nano-structured lipid carriers and niosomal systems. J Res Pharm. 2023; 27(1): 30-42.

wound healing ⁶ and bone regeneration ⁷. The most commonly-known dosage form of SIM in the market is the film-coated tablets used as a controlled release lipid-regulating drug ⁸. Other formulations were described such as self-emulsifying drug delivery systems ^{9,10}, nanoparticles ^{7,11-13}, buccal film of SIM ¹⁴ floating tablets ¹⁵ and dry powder inhaler ¹⁶.

Literature revealed reversed phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) methods for the analysis of SIM in different formulations in presence of co-formulated drugs, components and/or excipients ¹⁷⁻²¹. In addition to HPLC methods, several spectroscopic approaches were reported for the analysis of SIM including colorimetric methods ²²⁻²⁴, ultraviolet (UV) spectrophotometric methods ²⁵⁻²⁸ and chemometric methods ^{29,30}.

An important concept that has emerged in last few decades is "Green chemistry" also known as sustainable chemistry, which is focused on the design of products and processes that minimize or eliminate the generation and use of hazardous material. The green chemistry is explained through twelve principles ³¹ which includes "Less Hazardous Chemical Syntheses", "Designing Safer Chemicals" and "Safer Solvents and Auxiliaries". Therefore, there is a demand for manufacturing pharmaceutical formulations using substances of natural origin that possess no toxicity to human health and the environment; and develop an analytical approach for its quantitation with green solvents and minimum waste generation.

Although HPLC methods are rapid and reproducible in comparison to other analytical methods, but it involves the use of organic solvents such as (methanol and acetonitrile) and hyphenated instrument which may affect the greenness of the analytical method. Spectroscopic approaches are simple greener alternatives to chromatographic methods involving the use of green solvents with lower voltage, waste and cost. On the other hand, chromatographic methods transcend in the resolution and quantitation of active ingredients in different matrix, while interference of other components or excipients is not easily resolved using spectroscopic approaches as in HPLC. So, upon performing quantitation of multi-component matrix using spectroscopic approach, certain manipulations should be applied ³²⁻³⁴.

The aim of this work is to develop a green spectroscopic method for the characterization and evaluation of two nanocarrier systems, nano-structured lipid carrier NLC and a niosomal SIM system, through measuring free SIM in presence of the possible excipients/interferents such as cholesterol and oleic acid. The conventional spectrophotometric methods were not applied successfully in estimating SIM in presence of the excipients in its pharmaceutical formulation due to interfering signals. Therefore, the green spectroscopic approach "Area under the curve correction method (*AUCCM*)" utilizes the area under the curve (AUC) for the successful quantitation of SIM in this challenging matrix instead of hyphenated high-cost chromatographic conditions. The greenness of the developed analytical method will be assessed using the greenness assessment tools known as: National Environmental Methods Index (NEMI), Green Analytical Procedure Index (GAPI) and Eco-Scale.

1.1. Theory of Area under the curve correction method (AUCCM)

The well-known spectrophotometric method area under curve (AUC) calculate the concentration of the component of interest by measuring the area of its curve in zero order ^{35,36} or ratio absorption spectrum ³⁷. A simple modification was introduced to AUC method ³⁴ using absorption correction method for AUC with no need for Cramer's rule ³⁸. The AUC values are used instead of absorbance values for the same component, which increases sensitivity even in lower concentrations.

For a binary mixture (X and Y components) with partial overlapped spectra at the region of $(\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2)$, while X shows no interference with Y at the extended wavelength region $(\lambda_3 \cdot \lambda_4)$. The component "Y" is determined though the AUC in $(\lambda_3 \cdot \lambda_4)$ directly due to lack of absorption of component "X" at the extended region, while the AUC of X at $(\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2)$ can be calculated using the following equation:

AUC of X at $(\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2) = AUC$ at $(\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2)$ of X+Y - $\frac{AUC(1-2)}{AUC(3-4)} \times AUC$ at $(\lambda_3 \cdot \lambda_4)$ of X+Y

Where; $\frac{AUC(1-2)}{AUC(3-4)}$ is AUC factor of pure Y [AUC at $(\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2)$ / AUC at $(\lambda_3 \cdot \lambda_4)$], AUC at $(\lambda_3 \cdot \lambda_4)$ of X+Y = AUC at $(\lambda_3 \cdot \lambda_4)$ of Y only.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Spectral characteristics and profiles

By proposing the new "area under the curve correction" method (AUCCM), area under curve (AUC) and absorption correction methods have been merged ⁴⁴. Maximum sensitivity and selectivity were obtained due to measuring area of component in a wide range of wavelengths instead of a single wavelength which may be subjected to shifting. This method prevails over derivative-manipulating methods in terms of sensitivity by eliminating instrumental noise.



Figure 1. The zero order absorption spectra of SMV, oleic acid and cholesterol in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4)

For preparations containing *SIM*, oleic acid and/or cholesterol, direct measurement of *SIM* can't be performed due to interference of the zero order D₀ spectra of oleic acid and/or cholesterol with the D₀ spectrum of *SIM* in the wavelength range 234.5 - 245.5 (nm), which includes the λ_{max} of SIM. On the other hand, pure oleic acid and cholesterol exhibits absorbance with no interference of *SIM* in the wavelength range of (280 - 305) nm as shown in Fig. 1. Therefore, The AUC factors for pure oleic acid and cholesterol were calculated as $\frac{AUC(234.5-245.5)}{AUC(280-305)}$ which is corresponding to the ratio of the AUC at the interference wavelength range 234.5 - 245.5 (nm) divided by the AUC at the non-interference wavelength range 280 - 305 (nm). The AUC factor of pure oleic acid or cholesterol was calculated to be equal to 0.81 and 0.62, respectively. No spectral interference was observed for SDS, stearic acid, Tween 80 or Span 60 in PBS solutions.

Accordingly, *SIM* concentration was estimated using the "Area under the curve correction method (*AUCCM*)" to eliminate the interference oleic acid and/or cholesterol as shown in Fig. 2 and 3. The AUC corresponding to *SIM* in the region of at 234.5 - 245.5 (nm) (λ_1 – λ_2) can be calculated using the AUC factor as follow:

AUC of SIM (234.5 - 245.5) = AUC (234.5 - 245.5) of mixture - $\left[\frac{AUC(234.5 - 245.5)}{AUC(280 - 305)} \times AUC (280 - 305) \text{ of mixture}\right]$

Where; $\frac{AUC(234.5-245.5)}{AUC(280-305)}$ is AUC factor of pure oleic acid or cholesterol and the mixture corresponds to the preparation containing (*SIM* + oleic acid) or (*SIM* + cholesterol).

The concentration of SIM was calculated by the calibration curve relating the AUC at the wavelength range (234.5 - 245.5 nm) with its concentration after eliminating the interference of oleic acid or cholesterol.

2.2. Validation sheet

Method validation was performed according to ICH guidelines ⁴⁵ using both solvents.



Figure 2. Zero order absorption spectra of SMV and oleic acid showing the AUC regions (234.5-245.5 nm) and (280-305 nm)



Figure 3. Zero order absorption spectra of SMV and Cholesterol showing the AUC regions (234.5-245.5 nm) and (280-305 nm)

2.2.1. Range and linearity

The linearity of the proposed method was evaluated by carrying out calibration curve on three successive days. The calibration curve was constructed within concentration ranges that were selected on the basis of the anticipated drug concentration during the assay of the formulations, as shown in **Supplementary material Fig.1SM**. The concentration for *SIM* was found to be linear in relation to area under the curve at 234.5 - 245.5 (nm), in the range of (1-35 μ g/mL). Linearity was assessed by calculating the correlation coefficient "r". The

concentration range, calibration equations and other statistical parameters for the proposed method were listed in Table 1.

2.2.2. Limits of detection and quantification

The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were calculated respectively, for SIM using the proposed method with a ratio of 3.3 and 10 standard deviations of the blank and the slope of the calibration line, as listed Table 1.

LOD = 3.3 * SD of intercept / slope of calibration line

LOQ = 10 * SD of intercept / slope of calibration line

2.2.3. Accuracy

The procedure under "*Area under the curve measurements*" were repeated three times for the five determination of different concentrations of SIM within the linearity range. The accuracy was calculated and expressed as mean percentage recoveries and standard deviation (SD) as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Validation sheet and regression parameters for the proposed method for the determination of SMV.

Method	AUC (234.5 - 245.5 nm)		
Calibration range (µg/mL)	1-	1-35	
LOD (µg/mL)	0.24		
LOQ (µg/mL)	0.73		
Slope	0.4493		
Intercept	- 0.1699		
Correlation coefficient (r)	0.9994		
r ²	0.9996		
Mean % a	100.08		
SD a	± 1.32		
Accuracy ^b	99 77 +1 33		
(Mean± SD)	39.77 ±1.33		
Robustness ^a	100.25 ±1.21		
Soloctivity	100.99	99.86	
Selectivity	±1.33 °	±0.96	

^a Average of three experiments.

^b Average of five determination.

^c for NLC combinations (SIM + oleic acid)

^d for Niosomal combinations (SIM + cholesterol)

2.2.4. Precision

The intra-day precision (repeatability) of the proposed methods were determined by the analysis of three different concentrations of *SIM*, within the linearity range, by three replicate analyses of three pure samples on a single day. For the inter-day precision, the previous procedure was performed on three consecutive days. The results expressed as relative standard deviations (%RSD) were illustrated in Table 2.

Table 2. The intra-day precision (repeatability) and inter-day precision of the proposed method.

SMV concentration (µg/mL)	intra-day precision	inter-day precision
	100.25	101.25
5	101.25	100.63
5	100.98	98.63
	99.58	99.62
25	100.21	99.10
	99.63	101.63
	100.54	102.32
45	101.23	101.96
	99.85	100.58
% RSD	0.649	1.284

2.2.5. Selectivity

Selectivity was ascertained by analyzing different synthetic mixtures containing *SIM*, oleic acid and/or cholesterol in different ratios within the linearity range. Satisfactory results were shown in Table 1.



Figure 4. In vitro release of SIM from the prepared nanocarriers using the developed method.

2.2.6. Robustness

Testing robustness involved performing the spectral measurements at ± 2 nm for three different concentrations of SIM. The robustness was calculated as mean percentage recoveries and standard deviation as shown in Table 1. No significant changes were observed, so the method proved to be robust.

2.3. Application to *In vitro* release study

The concentration of pure SIM of the withdrawn samples at the selected time intervals (0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 24, 48, and 72 h) were calculated after elimination of the excipients (oleic acid and cholesterol) as shown in Table 3. The release percentage and standard deviations of the triplicate samples were calculated as a ratio from the initial concentration (equivalent to 35 μ g/mL) and was plotted as a function of time as shown in Fig. 4. Niosomal systems showed higher release% from the begining which extended to the end of the release study.

Time (hr)		NLCs			Niosomes	
Time (m) –	SIM conc	Release %	± SD	SIM conc	Release %	± SD
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.5	3.12	8.90	1.12	1.91	5.47	0.88
1	4.22	12.05	0.83	2.52	7.19	0.73
2	6.52	18.62	1.55	4.37	12.50	0.75
4	9.92	28.33	1.65	6.47	18.49	1.30
6	13.13	37.52	1.90	8.13	23.22	1.02
24	26.83	76.67	1.30	16.97	48.48	1.59
48	30.08	85.93	1.53	24.37	69.62	1.97
72	31.87	91.05	1.11	27.43	78.38	1.10

Table 3. In vitro study of SIM release from NLC and Niosomal sy	stems.
-----------------------------------------------------------------	--------

2.4. Greenness assessment and Comparison to other reported methods

Green chemistry aims for developing eco-friendly procedures for developing and analyzing pharmaceuticals with its highest priority for researchers and analysts. That's why greenness assessment represents an important criterion nowadays in the development and optimization of any proposed method. Gałuszka et al. ⁴⁶ introduced 12 main principles affecting green chemistry. Consequently, four tools were presented to assess greenness base

on those 12 principles which are: National Environmental Methods Index (NEMI), Assessment of Green Profile (AGP) and Green Analytical Procedure Index (GAPI) and Eco-Scale.

No spectrophotometric methods were reported for the analysis of SIM in presence the non-active ingredients of interest (Tween 80, stearic acid, oleic acid, cholesterol and Span 60). Therefore, the proposed AUCCM method was compared to two reported chromatographic method in terms of greenness assessment tools. The first method was reported for the analysis of NLC of SIM using a stationary phase C_{18} coulumn and a mobile phase at a mixture of acetonitrile and 0.1% phosphoric acid (85:15, v/v) at pH 4 at flow rate of 1.5 mL/min and UV detection at 238 nm ⁴⁷. The second method was reported for the analysis of SIM using a stationary phase C_{18} coulumn and a mobile phase of acetonitrile and formic acid 3 mM (51:49 v/v) at flow rate of 1 mL/min and UV detection at 238 nm ⁴⁸.

Table 4. Green assessments and comparison using NEMI, AGP and GAPI tools



2.4.1. National Environmental Methods Index (NEMI)

National Environmental Methods Index (NEMI) was the first simplest qualitative tool for greenness assessment ⁴⁹, presented by a pictogram consisting of four quadrants either shaded in green or left blank. The quadrant is green shaded if:

- a) All reagents are not defined as PBT reagents (persistent, bio-accumulative, and toxic) by the Environment Protection Agency's Toxic Release Inventory (EPA-TRI) ⁵⁰.
- b) None of the chemicals applied in the procedure is listed on D, F, I or C hazardous wastes EPA list ⁵¹.
- c) The pH lies between 2-12.

d) The waste produced during the procedure is less than 50g.

By assessing the proposed method via NEMI, it was found that proposed method has a green profile with three green-shaded quadrants (a-c) as shown in Table 4. Quadrant "d" was left blank as the waste generated from the method will exceed 50g. The same assessment was observed for the reported method of NLC ⁴⁷. On the other hand, the reported method for niosomes ⁴⁸ used 49% formic acid as a mobile phase; and that's why quadrant "c" was also left blank.

2.4.2. Assessment of Green Profile (AGP)

This tool is a semi-quantitative tool presented by a pentagram divided into five risk potentials: health, safety, environmental, waste, and energy ⁵². Each potential can be shaded by either green, yellow or red. The health and safety potentials are shaded according to National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) codes and standards ⁵³. Environment and waste pentagrams are shaded according to its yield (less than 50 g, 50-250 g and more than 250 g). Energy pentagram is shaded according to the solvent evaporation produced by the instrumentation.

By assessing the proposed method as shown in Table 4, Three potentials were green shaded, as the solvents used has NFPA health and safety scores (green shading) and low environmental impact low of phosphate buffer solution (PBS), but the two potentials: energy consumption and waste produced were yellow shaded. The greenness assessment of both reported methods ^{47, 48} showed two yellow potentials (safety and waste) and one red potential (energy). The health potential is red shaded in the reported method for niosomes ⁴⁸ because of the use of formic acid, while for the reported method of NLC ⁴⁷ it was yellow shaded because of the use of acetonitrile.

2.4.3. Green Analytical Procedure Index (GAPI)

This is a semi-quantitative tool of greenness assessment introduced by Wasylka ⁵⁴ assessing 15 analytical aspects divided into five pentagrams subdivided into smaller sections which are green-yellow to red shaded according to impact of each section from low to high as shown in **Supplementary material Table.1SM**.

The proposed AUCCM method showed GAPI pentagrams with seven green-shaded aspects related to sample preservation, storage, preparation and treatment and safety and a yellow solvent pictogram (no.7) due to the use of green solvent. The waste aspect was red-shaded (> 10mL), as shown in Table 4. The greenness assessment of both reported methods ^{47, 48} showed a red solvent pictogram (no.7) due to the use of non-green solvents, and yellow pictograms (no. 11 & 12) due to safety and energy consumption. The health pictogram (no.10) is red shaded in the reported method for niosomes ⁴⁸ because of formic acid, but it is found to be yellow for the reported method of NLC ⁴⁷ because of acetonitrile.

2.4.4. Analytical Eco-Scale

The analytical Eco-Scale ⁵⁵ is an excellent quantitative tool to verify greenness of an analytical method. Analytical Eco-Scale is calculated by calculating penalty points for every factor in the analytical procedure that affects perfect green analysis. The sum of penalty points is subtracted from 100 to calculate the eco-scale calculation as shown in the following equation:

Analytical Eco-Scale =100 – (Total penalty points)

A green analysis is considered ideal if the Eco-Scale is equal to100, excellent if >75, acceptable if >50, and inadequate if <50. By calculating the Eco-Scale for the proposed method as shown in Table 5, it was found that the eco-scale was equal to be 90 points, which is considered a relatively high score due to the use of green solvents and non-hazardous chemicals only but with high amount of waste due to preparation of several working solutions.

The reported methods ^{47, 48} showed lower eco-scales, due to the use of non-green solvents (acetonitrile, phosphoric and formic acids), high flowrates and retention times which in turn increased amount of waste relative to other chromatographic methods. This led to increasing the calculated penalty points and the eco-

scale was calculated to be 83 and 81, respectively. The Eco-scale was found to be the most sensitive and accurate tool which could analyze the greenness differences between the proposed and the reported methods precisely.

	• •			. 1	6.1			
Table 5. Eco-Scale and Penalt	v points	(PPs) to	or estimating	r the g	preenness of the i	proposed	l and rei	ported methods.
	, r	()		, (r		

	Penalty points (PPs)					
Reagents	Proposed AUCCM	Reported NLC method 47	Reported niosome method 48			
Acetonitrile	0	8	8			
Formic acid	0	0	6			
Phosphoric acid	0	4	0			
Disodium hydrogen	2	0	0			
phosphate	2					
Sodium dihydrogen	r	0	0			
phosphate	2	0	0			
Instrument Energy	1	2	2			
Occupational hazard	0	0	0			
Waste	5	3	3			
Total PPs	$\sum 8$	$\sum 17$	$\sum 19$			
Analytical Eco	00	83	81			
Scale total score	90	85				

3. CONCLUSION

This work discussed the development and validation of the "Area under the curve correction method" (AUCCM) which is a spectrophotometric method based on manipulating the area under the curve AUC. The method is based on calculating the AUC factor for interferents or excipients to eliminate their AUC from the mixture's spectra. The method was applied for the characterization and evaluation of two nanocarrier systems namely, nano-structured lipid carriers (NLC) and Simvastatin niosomal system, through measuring free SIM in presence of the possible excipients/interferents (oleic acid and cholesterol) in a challenging matrix. The estimation of SIM was calculated using the AUC in the wavelength range of (234.5 - 245.5) by calculating the AUC factors of oleic acid and cholesterol. The method was validated in compliance with ICH guidelines and was successfully applied for the in-vitro release study of the two SIM formulations. The method was assessed to be a greener method than the reported methods, which eliminates the generation and use of hazardous material and minimizes waste using the tools: NEMI, GAPI and Eco-Scale.

4. MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1. Instrument and software

UV-Visible double beam spectrophotometer (Shimadzu - UV 1800 - Japan), with matched 1 cm quartz cells, equipped with system software of Shimadzu UV-Probe 2.32. Scans were carried at (200-400 nm) using 0.5 nm interval.

4.2. Chemicals and standard solutions

Pure samples of simvastatin (*SIM*), oleic acid and cholesterol were supplied by local pharmaceutical companies. The purity was tested by the official methods ³⁹ which was found to be 99.88 ±0.15 for SIM. Ethanol (s.d.fine-chem limited- Mumbai), disodium hydrogen phosphate, sodium dihydrogen phosphate, phosphoric acid, oleic acid, cholesterol and sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) (Adwic – Egypt). All chemicals used were of analytical grades. Distilled water was used.

Stock solutions of *SIM*, oleic acid and cholesterol were prepared in concentration 1 mg/mL using phosphatebuffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) as solvents. Working solutions were freshly prepared by dilution from the stock solutions using phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) to obtain a concentration 50 μ g/mL for SIM, oleic acid and cholesterol. Different aliquots were drawn from the working solutions and diluted with the same solvent to prepare the following concentration ranges of (1-35 μ g/mL) of SIM, (5-30 μ g/mL) of oleic acid and cholesterol.

4.3. Area under the curve measurements

The area under the curves (AUC) were measured for the zero order absorption spectra D_0 of *SIM* in the wavelength range 234.5 - 245.5 (nm), where calibration curve in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) was

constructed for *SIM* by plotting the AUC values versus their corresponding concentrations at the mentioned wavelength range and the regression equation was computed. The area under curves of the obtained zero order absorption spectra D₀ of *SIM*, oleic acid and cholesterol were recorded in the wavelength ranges 234.5 - 245.5 (nm) (λ_1 - λ_2) and 280-305 (nm) (λ_3 - λ_4). The AUC factors $\frac{AUC(234.5-245.5)}{AUC(280-305)}$ for pure oleic acid and cholesterol were calculated, which is corresponding to the ratio of [AUC at 234.5 - 245.5 (nm)/ AUC at 280-305 (nm)].

4.4. Preparation of SIM NLC and Niosomal systems

Both nanocarrier systems contain SIM with the addition of certain excipients required for their preparations. For the preparation of nano-structured lipid carrier NLC, the non-active ingredients were Tween 80, stearic and oleic acids. While for the preparation of the niosomal system, the excipients were cholesterol and Span 60. The detailed procedure of preparations of both systems are described in an ongoing manuscript under publication.

4.5. Applying AUCCM in vitro release of SIM from the prepared nanocarriers

In vitro release of SIM from the optimized NLC and Niosomal systems was studied under sink conditions using the dialysis method ⁴⁰. Samples of each system (equivalent to 3.5 mg of the drug) were packed into the dialysis bag and immersed in 100 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) with 0.5% SDS in a bottle. The bottles were placed in a thermostatic shaker at 37 °C and 100 rpm. Two-milliliter aliquots were withdrawn at pre-determined time intervals (0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 24, 48, and 72 h) as triplicates and replaced immediately with equal volumes of fresh PBS to maintain the same volume. The withdrawn aliquot at each time interval was analyzed for SIM spectrophotometrically at a wavelength range of 200-400 nm. The cumulative amount of drug release was calculated as a function of time ⁴¹⁻⁴³.

The measured AUC of each sample is measured at the wavelength ranges: (234.5 - 245.5 nm) and (280-305 nm) against 0.5% SDS as a blank. For NLC samples, the AUC at (280-305 nm) is multiplied by the AUC factor $\frac{AUC(234.5-245.5)}{AUC(280-305)}$ of oleic acid in order to obtain the AUC corresponding to oleic acid in the (234.5 - 245.5 nm), then this calculated AUC is subtracted from the total AUC of the sample at the same wavelength range to obtain the corresponding AUC of pure SIM in the range (234.5 - 245.5 nm). For the niosomal SIM system, the same procedure was adopted using the AUC factor $\frac{AUC(234.5-245.5)}{AUC(280-305)}$ of cholesterol. The concentration of SIM was calculated at each time interval and the release % was plotted against time.

Author contributions: Concept – I. M., O.E., A.A., S.S., D.M.,; Design – I.M., S.S.,; Supervision – O.E., A.A., D.M.; Resources – I.M.,; Materials – I.M.,; Data Collection and/or Processing – I.M.,; Analysis and/or Interpretation – I.M., S.S.; Literature Search – I.M.,; Writing – I.M.; Critical Reviews – I. M., O.E., A.A., S.S., D.M.

Conflict of interest statement: The authors declared no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

[1] Moffat AC, Osselton DM, Widdop B, Galichet LY. Clarke's analysis of drugs and poisons. Pharmaceutical Press, London 2005.

[2] Sweetman SC. Martindale: The complete drug reference. Pharmaceutical Press, London 2005.

[3] Davignon J. Beneficial cardiovascular pleiotropic effects of statins. Circulation 2004; 109(23)suppl 1: III-39-III-43. [CrossRef]

[4] Kavalipati N, Shah J, Ramakrishan A, Vasnawala H. Pleiotropic effects of statins. Indian J Endocrinol Metab 2015; 19(5): 554-562. [CrossRef]

[5] Paraskevas KI. Statin treatment for rheumatoid arthritis: A promising novel indication. Clin Rheumatol 2008; 27(3): 281-287. [CrossRef]

[6] Frykberg RG, Banks J. Challenges in the treatment of chronic wounds. Advances in wound care 2015; 4(9): 560-582. [CrossRef]

[7] Delan WK, Zakaria M, Elsaadany B, ElMeshad AN, Mamdouh W, Fares AR. Formulation of simvastatin chitosan nanoparticles for controlled delivery in bone regeneration: Optimization using box-behnken design, stability and in vivo study. Int J Pharm 2020; 577: 119038. [CrossRef]

[8] Zocor ® tablets (MERCK & CO). <u>Https://www.Accessdata.Fda.Gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2011/019766s077s082lbl.Pdf</u>.

[9] Patil P, Patil V, Paradkar A. Formulation of a self-emulsifying system for oral delivery of simvastatin: In vitro and in vivo evaluation. Acta pharmaceutica (Zagreb, Croatia) 2007; 57(1): 111-122. [CrossRef]

[10] Verma M, Nanda A, Kumar Y. Self-emulsifying drug delivery system of simvastatin: Formulation development, optimization by box- behnken design, in-vitro and in-situ single-pass intestinal perfusion (spip) studies. Recent Pat Drug Delivery Formulation 2018; 12(3): 199-211.

[11] Ahmed OA, Hosny KM, Al-Sawahli MM, Fahmy UA. Optimization of caseinate-coated simvastatin-zein nanoparticles: Improved bioavailability and modified release characteristics. Drug Des, Dev Ther 2015; 9: 655-662. [CrossRef]

[12] Fattahi A, Karimi-Sabet J, Keshavarz A, Golzary A, Rafiee-Tehrani M, Dorkoosh FA. Preparation and characterization of simvastatin nanoparticles using rapid expansion of supercritical solution (ress) with trifluoromethane. J Supercrit Fluids 2016; 107: 469-478. [CrossRef]

[13] Krishnam Raju K, Sudhakar B, Murthy KVR. Factorial design studies and biopharmaceutical evaluation of simvastatin loaded solid lipid nanoparticles for improving the oral bioavailability. Int Scholarly Res Not 2014; 951016. [CrossRef]

[14] El-Maghraby GM, Abdelzaher MM. Formulation and evaluation of simvastatin buccal film. J Appl Pharm Sci 2015; 5(4): 70-77.

[15] Rao NR, Laharika M, Kistayya C. Design and development of simvastatin gastroretentive tablets for controlled release. J Drug Delivery Ther 2017; 7(1): 30-36. [CrossRef]

[16] Tulbah AS, Ong HX, Morgan L, Colombo P, Young PM, Traini D. Dry powder formulation of simvastatin. Expert Opin Drug Delivery 2015; 12(6): 857-868. [CrossRef]

[17] Munir A, Ahmad M, Malik MZ, Minhas MU. Analysis of simvastatin using a simple and fast high performance liquid chromatography-ultra violet method: Development, validation and application in solubility studies. Trop J Pharm Res 2014; 13(1): 135-139.

[18] Guzik L, Mrozik W, Kamysz W. Determination of simvastatin in pharmaceutical dosage forms by optimized and validated method using HPLC/UV. Croat Chem Acta 2010; 83(4): 371-377.

[19] Nováková L, Šatínský D, Solich P. HPLC methods for the determination of simvastatin and atorvastatin. TrAC, Trends Anal Chem 2008; 27(4): 352-367. [CrossRef]

[20] Silva TD, Oliveira MA, de Oliveira RB, Vianna-Soares CD. Development and validation of a simple and fast HPLC method for determination of lovastatin, pravastatin and simvastatin. J Chromatogr Sci 2012; 50(9): 831-838. [CrossRef]

[21] Dixit RP, Barhate CR, Padhye SG, Viswanathan CL, Nagarsenker MS. Stability indicating RP-HPLC method for simultaneous determination of simvastatin and ezetimibe from tablet dosage form. Indian J Pharm Sci 2010; 72(2): 204-210.

[22] Tharpa K, Basavaiah K, Rajedraprasad N, Vinay KB, Hiriyanna SG. Sensitive spectrophotometric assay of simvastatin in pharmaceuticals using permanganate. Braz J Pharm Sci 2010; 46(1): 91-98. [CrossRef]

[23] Sharaf El-Din MM, Attia KA, Nassar MW, Kaddah MM. Colorimetric determination of simvastatin and lovastatin in pure form and in pharmaceutical formulations. Spectrochim Acta, Part A 2010; 76(4): 423-428. [CrossRef]

[24] S Bhatia M, D Jadhav S, P Dhavale R, B Choudhari P. Rational design of colorimetric reagent for sensitivity and selectivity enhancement for β hydroxy acid of simvastatin. Lett Org Chem 2013; 10(7): 496-501.

[25] Dey S, Pradhan PK, Upadhayay U, Patel C, Lad B. Method development and validation of simvastatin by uv spectrophotometric method. J Pharm Res 2012; 5(12): 5380-5382.

[26] Arayne MS, Sultana N, Hussain F, Ali S. Validated spectrophotometric method for quantitative determination of simvastatin in pharmaceutical formulations and human serum. J Anal Chem 2007; 62(6): 536-541. [CrossRef]

[27] Dhole SM, Yeole MP. First derivative UV-spectrophotometric method for simultaneous determination of simvastatin and ezetimibe in tablet dosage form. Der Pharm Lett 2015; 7: 124-128.

[28] Lotfy HM. Determination of simvastatin and ezatimibe in combined tablet dosage forms by constant center spectrphotometric method. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci. 2012; 4(4): 673-679.

[29] Alahmad S, Elfatatry HM, Mabrouk MM, Hammad SF, Mansour FR. Development and validation of chemometric spectrophotometric methods for simultaneous determination of simvastatin and nicotinic acid in binary combinations. Curr Drug Discovery Technol 2018; 15(2): 149-155.

[30] Palabiyik IM, Onur F, Yardimci C, Özaltin N. Simultaneous spectrophotometric determination of ezetimibe and simvastatin in pharmaceutical preparations using chemometric techniques. Química Nova 2008; 31(5): 1121-1124. [CrossRef]

[31] Anastas PT, Warner JC. Green chemistry: Theory and practice. Oxford University Press; New York (USA) 1998.

[32] Lotfy HM, Saleh SS. Testing the purity of spectral profiles: Finger-print resolution of complex matrices and extraction of absorbance signals. Spectrochim Acta, Part A 2018; 205: 160-169. [CrossRef]

[33] Lotfy HM, Saleh SS. Investigating advanced approaches based on iso-absorptivity coefficient in unresolved spectral signals of binary mixtures. J Anal Methods Chem 2019; Article ID: 7924821. [CrossRef]

[34] Lotfy HM, Saleh SS, Hassan NY, Salem H. Computation of geometric representation of novel spectrophotometric methods used for the analysis of minor components in pharmaceutical preparations. Spectrochim Acta, Part A 2015; 151: 628-643. [CrossRef]

[35] Ghorpade SA, Sali MS, Kategaonkar AH, Patel DM, Choudhari VP, Kuchekar BS. Simultaneous determination of emtricitabine and tenofovir by area under curve and dual wavelength spectrophotometric method. J Chil Chem Soc 2010; 55(1): 115-117.

[36] Abdelrahman MM. Simultaneous determination of cinnarizine and domperidone by area under curve and dual wavelength spectrophotometric methods. Spectrochim Acta, Part A 2013; 113: 291-296. [CrossRef]

[37] Abdelrahman MM. Selective spectrophotometric methods for determination of ternary mixture with overlapping spectra: A comparative study. Spectrochim Acta, Part A 2014; 124: 389–396. [CrossRef]

[38] Gong Z, Aldeen M, Elsner L. A note on a generalized cramer's rule. Linear Algebra Appl 2002; 340: 253–254. [CrossRef]

[39] British Pharmacopoeia Volume II. The Stationery Office on behalf of the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA), London, 2013.

[40] Zhang Z, Bu H, Gao Z, Huang Y, Gao F, Li Y. The characteristics and mechanism of simvastatin loaded lipid nanoparticles to increase oral bioavailability in rats. Int J Pharm 2010; 394(2): 147-153. [CrossRef]

[41] Hiremath J, Sarfaraz M, Hiremath D, Sarudkar S. Preparation and physicochemical characterization of simvastatin loaded mucoadhesive bilayered tablet. Indian Journal of Novel Drug Delivery 2009; 1(1): 18-24.

[42] Fathi HA, Allam A, Elsabahy M, Fetih G, El-Badry M. Nanostructured lipid carriers for improved oral delivery and prolonged antihyperlipidemic effect of simvastatin. Colloids Surf, B 2018; 162: 236-245. [CrossRef]

[43] Imran M, Shah MR, Ullah F, Ullah S, Elhissi AM, Nawaz W, Ahmad F, Sadiq A, Ali I. Glycoside-based niosomal nanocarrier for enhanced in-vivo performance of cefixime. Int J Pharm 2016; 505(2): 122-132. [CrossRef] [44] Salem H, Lotfy HM, Hassan NY, El-Zeiny MB, Saleh SS. A comparative study of different aspects of manipulating ratio spectra applied for ternary mixtures: Derivative spectrophotometry versus wavelet transform. Spectrochim Acta, Part A 2015; 135: 1002-1010. [CrossRef]

[45] International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) QB. Validation of analytical procedures: Methodology, federal register. 2005; 62.

[46] Gałuszka A, Migaszewski Z, Namieśnik J. The 12 principles of green analytical chemistry and the significance mnemonic of green analytical practices. TrAC, Trends Anal Chem 2013; 50: 78-84. [CrossRef]

[47] Alam M, Ahmed S, Nikita, Moon G, Aqil M, Sultana Y. Chemical engineering of a lipid nano-scaffold for the solubility enhancement of an antihyperlipidaemic drug, simvastatin; preparation, optimization, physicochemical characterization and pharmacodynamic study. Artif Cells, Nanomed, Biotechnol 2018; 46(8): 1908-1919. [CrossRef]

[48] Salem HF, Kharshoum RM, Abou-Taleb HA, Farouk HO, Zaki RM. Fabrication and appraisal of simvastatin via tailored niosomal nanovesicles for transdermal delivery enhancement: In vitro and in vivo assessment. Pharmaceutics 2021; 13(2): 138. [CrossRef]

[49] Keith LH, Gron LU, Young JL. Green analytical methodologies. Chem rev 2007; 107(6): 2695-2708. [CrossRef]

[50] (EPA) USEPA. Persistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic (pbt) chemicals under tsca section 6(h). USA 2019. https://www.epa.gov/assessing-and-managing-chemicals-under-tsca/persistent-bioaccumulative-and-toxic-pbt-chemicals-under.

[51] EPA CoFR-. Identification and listing of hazardous waste, 2016.

[52] Raynie D, Driver J. Green assessment of chemical method. 13th Annual Green Chemistry & Engineering Conference; Maryland - USA: ASC; 2009. p. 34.

[53] (NFPA) NFPA. List of nfpa codes & standards. 2019. <u>https://www.nfpa.org/Codes-and-Standards/All-Codes-and-Standards/List-of-Codes-and-Standards</u>.

[54] Płotka-Wasylka J. A new tool for the evaluation of the analytical procedure: Green analytical procedure index. Talanta 2018; 181: 204-209. [CrossRef]

[55] Van Aken K, Strekowski L, Patiny L. Ecoscale, a semi-quantitative tool to select an organic preparation based on economical and ecological parameters. Beilstein J Org Chem 2006; 2(1): 3-10.

This is an open access article which is publicly available on our journal's website under Institutional Repository at http://dspace.marmara.edu.tr.